arXiv:1606.01938v2 [hep-th] 17 Nov 2016

From geometry to non-geometry via T-duality *

B. Sazdović †
Institute of Physics,
University of Belgrade,
11001 Belgrade, P.O.Box 57, Serbia

November 18, 2016

Abstract

Reconsideration of T-duality of the open string allows us to introduce some geometric features in non-geometric theories. Starting with the observation that general coordinate transformations are T-dual to the gauge transformations, we introduce new, up to now missing term, with additional gauge field A_i^D (D denotes components with Dirichlet boundary conditions). It compensate non-fulfilment of the invariance under general coordinate transformation on the end-points of open string, as well as standard gauge field A_a^N (N denotes components with Neumann boundary conditions) compensate non-fulfilment of the gauge invariance. Using generalized procedure we will perform T-duality of vector fields linear in coordinates. We show that gauge fields A_a^N and A_i^D are T-dual to ${}^\star A_D^a$ and ${}^\star A_N^i$ respectively.

We introduce the field strength of T-dual non-geometric theories as derivative of T-dual gauge fields along both T-dual variable y_μ and its double $\tilde{y}_\mu$. This definition allows us to obtain gauge transformation of non-geometric theories which leaves T-dual field strength invariant. Therefore, we introduce some new features of non-geometric theories where field strength has both antisymmetric and symmetric parts. This allows us to define new kind of truly non-geometric theories.

1 Introduction

The string theory has more symmetries than point particle. This is source of unusual situation, which is described with so called to non-geometry [1, 2, 3]. In fact, when going around loop in space-time the field configuration is well defined only after applying some string symmetry (T-duality) as a transition function. This is a benign form when the

*Work supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Education and Science, under contract No. 171031.
†e-mail: sazdovic@ipb.ac.rs

space is locally geometric but globally non-geometric. A severe form appears with so called R-flux when we loose local geometric description of space-time points.

When background fields depend on the coordinate, T-dual theories are non-geometric although the initial theory is geometric. For example, in Refs.[4] and [5] it has been shown that T-dual theories of the closed string are non-commutative and non-associative. In the present article, using T-duality we are able to introduce some geometry (the field strength in terms of gauge fields) for non-geometric theories.

To prepare this, we will first reconsider T-duality of vector background fields. There is standard way how to introduce vector fields at the end of the open string (see for example [6]). In fact gauge invariance of the Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$, which valid for the closed string, has failed on the open string ends. To restore it we should add the corresponding vector fields A_μ at the string end-points. Then neither Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ nor field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ are gauge invariant. There is new invariant quantity $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu}$.

The closed string has one more important symmetry, the general coordinate transformations. In this article we are going to consider general coordinate transformations of the open string. In analogy with previous case we will introduce corresponding additional vector field $\bar{A}_\mu$, which restore general coordinate transformations at the string end-points.

As a consequence of the boundary conditions, for each direction component of only one vector fields A_μ and $\bar{A}_\mu$ survive. So, we will have exactly one vector field with components $\{A_a^N, A_i^D\}$ where A_a^N (fields corresponding to the Neumann boundary conditions) are remaining components of A_μ while A_i^D (fields corresponding to the Dirichlet boundary conditions) are remaining components of $\bar{A}_\mu$.

The Dirichlet vector field is not coupled with $\dot{x}^\mu$ but with expression $\gamma_\mu^{(0)}(x)$ which depend on both $\dot{x}^\mu$ and x'^μ. We will introduce $\gamma_\mu^{(0)}(x)$ in Sec.2. and we will call it σ-momentum, because standard momentum π_μ and $\gamma_\mu^{(0)}(x)$ are components of the same world-sheet vector. Consequently, we introduce the pair of *effective vector fields* $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu}$ ($\alpha = 0, 1$) as a world-sheet and space-time vector. Its world-sheet components: the standard one $\mathcal{A}_{0\mu}$ is a coefficient in front of $\dot{x}^\mu$ and a new one $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$ is a coefficient in front of x'^μ. We will show that the field strength corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{0\mu}$ is antisymmetric while the non-standard one, corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$ is symmetric.

The space-time equations of motion in the lowest order in slope parameter α' are consequence of the requirement of the world-sheet conformal invariance on the quantum level. We will consider the simplest solutions for the closed string background fields (metric and Kalb-Ramond field) which satisfies the space-time equations of motion $G_{\mu\nu} = const, B_{\mu\nu} = const$. For gauge fields we will chose non-trivial solution of the space-time equations of motion [7]: we will take them linear in coordinates with infinitesimal coefficient, so that the field strength is infinitesimal and constant. It is non-trivial

generalization of the standard consideration in the literature. As is well known [8], the constant part of the Dirichlet vector field A_i^D carry out uniform translation of the Dp-brane. In the present article the vector field additionally contains infinitesimal coordinate dependent part. According to [8] such term can produce coordinate depending translations. In other words it can curve Dp-brane. We will assume that A_i^D depend only on coordinates x^i orthogonal to Dp-brane. So, in this paper we will work with plane Dp-brane.

Let us now include T-duality into consideration. It is well known that canonical momenta are T-dual to the σ-derivative of the coordinates. After integration over σ it turns to T-duality between momenta and winding numbers. On the other hand, canonical momenta are generators of the general coordinate transformations while the σ-derivative of the coordinates are generators of the gauge symmetry [9, 10, 11]. It follows that general coordinate transformations are T-dual to the gauge symmetry, which is a fact used in double field theories. In the open string case, after T-dualization additionally vector fields with Neumann boundary conditions turn to the vector fields with Dirichlet boundary conditions $A_a^N \to {}^\star A_D^b$ and vice versa $A_i^D \to {}^\star A_N^j$.

We are going to carry through T-dualization in two ways: in terms of vector field and in terms of its field strength. The first one is more challenging, because in that case the vector field is not constant and Buscher's procedure can not be applied. The part with vector field which corresponds to the Dirichlet boundary conditions does not possess even global symmetry. So, we will use T-dualization procedure of the Ref.[12] which works in absence of global symmetry. We explicitly find T-dual vector fields in the form ${}^\star A_D^a(V) = G_E^{-1ab} A_b^N(V)$ and ${}^\star A_N^i(V) = G^{-1ij} A_j^D(V)$. It shows that, as we expect, T-dualization change boundary conditions and exchange Neumann with Dirichlet vector fields. Additionally we proved that T-dual vector fields do not depend only on the dual coordinates y_μ but on V^μ, which beside y_μ depend also on its double $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

The second way of T-dualization is simpler, because the field strength of the initial theory is constant. The antisymmetric part $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}$ can be considered as extension of Kalb-Ramond field while the symmetric part $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$ can be considered as extension of the metric tensor. So, it is easy to find complete T-dual background fields and T-dual field strength.

The particular form of $V^\mu = -\kappa\,\theta^{\mu\nu} y_\nu + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\,\tilde{y}_\nu$ implies several features connected with non-geometric theories. For example, in Ref.[5] it was shown that it produces non-associativity of the coordinates, derived previously on the other way in Refs.[13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. In geometric theories the field strength for Abelian vector field is simple $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. Because in non-geometric theories the vector field depends on V^μ, we expect that T-dual field strength will contain derivatives with respect to both variables y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

The case with T-dual vector fields includes additional problems. The source of non-geometry is not only the argument V^μ of vector background field but also T-dual σ-

momentum ${}^\star\gamma^\mu_{(0)}(y)$, which depend on both $\dot{y}_\mu$ and y'_μ. In that case we can analogously introduce *T-dual effective vector fields* ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V)$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)$ in front of $\dot{y}_\mu$ and y'_μ respectively for both Neumann and Dirichlet sectors. T-duality allows us to find their dependence on the original vector fields A_μ: ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha(A_\mu)$, $(\alpha = 0, 1)$, which is equivalent to dependence on the original field strength ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha(F_{\mu\nu})$, $(\alpha = 0, 1)$.

In the present article we will introduce the field strengths of non-geometric theories. In geometric theories, the term in the action with vector field (defined as integration over τ) multiplied by $\dot{x}^\mu$ can be transformed to the term in the action with corresponding field strength (with integration over $d^2\xi = d\tau d\sigma$). We can take this as a new definition for field strength. It agree with the standard one for geometric theories and provides us with new opportunities for non-geometric theories.

We can generalize such approach to the case of non-geometric theories. We will define the effective T-dual field strength ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ as the term in action with integration over $d^2\xi = d\tau d\sigma$ which is equivalent to the term with effective vector fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V)$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)$ multiplied by $\dot{y}_\mu$ and y'_μ respectively. As well as in the initial theory, beside standard term antisymmetric in μ, ν indices ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} = -{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\nu\mu}_{(a)}$, it appears the new one symmetric in μ, ν indices ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} = {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\nu\mu}_{(s)}$. The T-dual effective field strength depends on the initial one ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\nu})$. Expressions ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha(F_{\mu\nu})$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\nu})$ allow us to eliminate the initial field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ and find expression ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha$. In fact, first we can find all antisymmetric and symmetric derivatives of ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha(F_{\mu\nu})$ with respect to both y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$. Comparing these results with known expression for ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(F_{\mu\nu})$ we obtain desired result.

Using above results we will introduce genuinely non-geometric theories. We will also discuss local gauge symmetries of T-dual non-geometric theories as transformation of T-dual effective vector fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha(V)$ which does not change the T-dual field strength ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$. We will briefly discuss non-geometric matter fields.

2 T-duality of the closed string

In this section we introduce some known features of the bosonic closed string, which we are going to generalize to the case of the open string in next sections. In particular, we consider T-dual background fields and T-duality transformations in canonical form.

2.1 Closed bosonic string

Let us consider the closed bosonic string which propagates in D-dimensional space-time described by the action [18]

$$S[x] = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \sqrt{-g}\Big[\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}[x] + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}[x]\Big] \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu, \quad (\varepsilon^{01} = -1)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

The string, with coordinates $x^\mu(\xi),\ \mu = 0, 1, ..., D-1$ is moving in the non-trivial background, defined by the space metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ and the Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$. Here $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is intrinsic world-sheet metric and the integration goes over two-dimensional world-sheet Σ with coordinates ξ^α ($\xi^0 = \tau,\ \xi^1 = \sigma$).

Choosing the conformal gauge $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^{2F}\eta_{\alpha\beta}$, and introducing light-cone coordinates $\xi^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sigma)$, $\partial_\pm = \partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma$, the action (2.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$S = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi\ \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu} \partial_- x^\nu, \tag{2.2}$$

where we introduce useful combination of background fields

$$\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.3}$$

According to action principle, variation of the action (2.2) with respect x^μ produces equation of motion

$$\partial_+\partial_- x^\mu + \Big(\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho} - B^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}\Big)\partial_+ x^\nu \partial_- x^\rho = 0\,, \tag{2.4}$$

and boundary conditions

$$\gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x)\delta x^\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} \quad - \quad \gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x)\delta x^\mu/_{\sigma=0} = 0\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho}$ is Christoffel symbol and we introduce

$$\gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x) \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta x'^\mu} = \kappa\Big[2B_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu - G_{\mu\nu}x'^\nu\Big] = \kappa\Big(\Pi_{+\mu\nu}\partial_- x^\nu + \Pi_{-\mu\nu}\partial_+ x^\nu\Big)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

The requirement of the world-sheet conformal invariance on the quantum level leads to the space-time equations of motion, which in the lowest order in slope parameter α', for the constant dilaton field $\Phi = const$ are

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\rho\sigma}B_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} = 0\,, \qquad D_\rho B^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = 0\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Here $B_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the field $B_{\mu\nu}$, and $R_{\mu\nu}$ and D_μ are Ricci tensor and covariant derivative with respect to space-time metric. We will consider the simplest solutions of (2.7)

$$G_{\mu\nu} = const, \quad B_{\mu\nu} = const\,, \tag{2.8}$$

which satisfies the space-time equations of motion.

2.2 Sigma-model T-duality for closed string

Applying Buscher T-dualization procedure along all coordinates [19], we obtain the T-dual action

$$^\star S[y] = \kappa \int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu\ ^\star\Pi_+^{\mu\nu}\ \partial_- y_\nu = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu \theta_-^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\theta_\pm^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1}\Pi_\pm G^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\mu\nu} \mp \frac{1}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

The symmetric and antisymmetric parts of $\theta_\pm^{\mu\nu}$ are the inverse of the effective metric $G^E_{\mu\nu}$ and the non-commutativity parameter $\theta^{\mu\nu}$

$$G^E_{\mu\nu} \equiv G_{\mu\nu} - 4(BG^{-1}B)_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \theta^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1}BG^{-1})^{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.11}$$

Consequently, the T-dual background fields are

$$^\star G^{\mu\nu} = (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}, \quad ^\star B^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that the dual effective metric is just inverse of the initial metric

$$^\star G_E^{\mu\nu} \equiv {}^\star G^{\mu\nu} - 4(^\star B {}^\star G^{-1} {}^\star B)^{\mu\nu} = (G^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{2.13}$$

and we will need the following relations

$$(^\star B {}^\star G^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu = -(G^{-1}B)^\mu{}_\nu\,, \qquad (^\star G^{-1} {}^\star B)_\mu{}^\nu = -(BG^{-1})_\mu{}^\nu\,. \tag{2.14}$$

2.3 T-duality transformations of the closed string

The T-duality transformation, connecting the variables x^μ of the initial closed string theory with its corresponding T-dual ones y_μ takes a form [20]

$$\partial_\pm x^\mu \cong -\kappa\theta_\pm^{\mu\nu}\partial_\pm y_\nu\,, \tag{2.15}$$

where the symbol $\cong$ denotes the T-duality relation.

From this equation we can find the T-dual transformation laws for $\dot{x}^\mu$ and x'^μ

$$\dot{x}^\mu \cong -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}\dot{y}_\nu + (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}y'_\nu\,, \tag{2.16}$$

$$x'^\mu \cong (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\dot{y}_\nu - \kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}y'_\nu\,. \tag{2.17}$$

It has been shown in Ref. [20] that the T-dual of the T-dual action is the original one. The corresponding T-dual transformation is the inverse of (2.15)

$$\partial_\pm y_\mu \cong -2\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}\partial_\pm x^\nu\,, \tag{2.18}$$

and consequently the transformation laws for $\dot{y}_\mu$ and y'_μ are equal to

$$\dot{y}_\mu \cong -2B_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu + G_{\mu\nu}x'^\nu\,, \tag{2.19}$$

$$y'_\mu \cong G_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu - 2B_{\mu\nu}x'^\nu\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Using the expression for the canonical momentum of the original theory

$$\pi_\mu \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta \dot{x}^\mu} = \kappa\Big[G_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu - 2B_{\mu\nu}x'^\nu\Big], \tag{2.21}$$

and of the T-dual theory

$${}^\star\pi^\mu \equiv \frac{\delta\, {}^\star S}{\delta \dot{y}_\mu} = \kappa\Big[(G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\dot{y}_\nu - \kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}y'_\nu\Big]\,, \tag{2.22}$$

we can rewrite the transformations (2.17) and (2.20) in the canonical form

$$\kappa\, x'^\mu \cong {}^\star\pi^\mu\,, \qquad \pi_\mu \cong \kappa y'_\mu\,. \tag{2.23}$$

This relation connect momenta and winding numbers.

It was shown in Refs.[9, 10, 11] that π_μ is generator of general coordinate transformations while x'^μ is generator of gauge symmetry. Then, relation (2.23) shows that these symmetries are T-dual to each other.

Since $\partial_\alpha x^\mu = \{\dot{x}^\mu, x'^\mu\}$ is a world-sheet vector then variation with respect to $\partial_\alpha x^\mu$

$$\pi^\alpha_\mu \equiv \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_\alpha x^\mu} = \{\pi_\mu, \gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x)\}\,, \tag{2.24}$$

is also world-sheet vector. So, the momentum π_μ and variable $\gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x)$, which will play important role in analysis of boundary conditions, are components of the same world-sheet vector. From now on we will call $\gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x)$ σ-momentum.

3 T-duality of the open string

In this section we will consider boundary conditions on the open string end-points and adapt T-duality to such restrictions. Essentially, all changes will happen on the string end points, although it is useful to rewrite some expressions formally as if they are on the world-sheet.

We will consider vector gauge fields A^N_a with Neumann boundary conditions which appears regularly in the literature. It is $p+1$ dimensional vector on the Dp-brane. It compensate not implemented gauge symmetry of the Kalb-Ramond field at the open string end-points. In this article we additionally introduce $D-p-1$ dimensional vector field A^D_i with Dirichlet boundary conditions, orthogonal to the Dp-brane, which with previous one complete a D dimensional vector. It compensate not implemented general coordinate transformations at the open string end-points. We will show that field A^D_i is T-dual to the A^N_a one, as well as the general coordinate transformations are T-dual to gauge symmetry of the Kalb-Ramond field.

3.1 T-duality between Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions

Unlike the closed string, the open string must satisfy boundary conditions at the string end-points. For initial string they take a form (2.5) and (2.6) while for T-dual string we have

$$ {}^\star\gamma^\mu_{(0)}(y)\delta y_\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} \quad - \quad {}^\star\gamma^\mu_{(0)}(y)\delta y_\mu/_{\sigma=0} = 0\,, \tag{3.1} $$

where according to (2.9) T-dual σ-momentum is

$$ {}^\star\gamma^\mu_{(0)}(y) \equiv \frac{\delta\,{}^\star S}{\delta y'_\mu} = \kappa\Big[\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}\dot{y}_\nu - (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}y'_\nu\Big] = \kappa\Big[2\,{}^\star B^{\mu\nu}\dot{y}_\nu - {}^\star G^{\mu\nu}y'_\nu\Big] = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\Big(\theta^{\mu\nu}_-\partial_- y_\nu + \theta^{\mu\nu}_+\partial_+ y_\nu\Big)\,. \tag{3.2} $$

We can rewrite the T-dual transformations (2.16) and (2.19) in the form

$$ -\,\kappa\,\dot{x}^\mu \cong {}^\star\gamma^\mu_{(0)}(y)\,, \qquad \gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x) \cong -\kappa\,\dot{y}_\mu\,. \tag{3.3} $$

Note that we can put equations (2.23) and (3.3) in compact, world-sheet covariant form

$$ \kappa\partial_\alpha x^\mu \cong \frac{\delta\,{}^\star S}{\delta(\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta y_\mu)} = -\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\,{}^\star\pi^{\beta\mu}\,, \qquad \kappa\partial_\alpha y_\mu \cong \frac{\delta\, S}{\delta(\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta x^\mu)} = -\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\pi^\beta_\mu\,. \tag{3.4} $$

Let us show that above equations connect Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions.

1. If the end points (we will denote them with $\partial\Sigma$ as a boundary of the world-sheet Σ) of the initial string satisfy Neumann boundary conditions (which means that variation of some string end points $\delta x^a/_{\partial\Sigma}$ with $a = 0, 1, \cdots, p$ is arbitrary) than $\gamma^{(0)}_a(x)/_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$. Together with (3.3) it produces $\dot{y}_a/_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$, which means that edges of dual string are fixed. This is by definition Dirichlet boundary conditions for T-dual string.

2. Similarly, if the end points of the initial string satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions (it means that edges of string are fixed) than $\dot{x}^i/_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$ where $i = p+1, \cdots, D-1$. Together with (3.3) it produces ${}^\star\gamma^i_{(0)}(y)/_{\partial\Sigma} = 0$, which in according with (3.1) means that variations of corresponding dual string end points $\delta y_i/_{\partial\Sigma}$ are arbitrary. This is by definition Neumann boundary conditions for T-dual string.

3.2 The Neumann and Dirichlet vector background fields

It s well known that the action of closed string theory (2.1) is invariant under following infinitesimal transformations: general coordinate transformations

$$ \delta_\xi G_{\mu\nu} = -2\,(D_\mu\xi_\nu + D_\nu\xi_\mu)\,, \qquad \delta_\xi B_{\mu\nu} = -2\,\xi^\rho B_{\rho\mu\nu} + 2\partial_\mu(B_{\nu\rho}\xi^\rho) - 2\partial_\nu(B_{\mu\rho}\xi^\rho)\,, \tag{3.5} $$

and local gauge transformations

$$ \delta_\Lambda G_{\mu\nu} = 0\,, \qquad \delta_\Lambda B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Lambda_\nu - \partial_\nu\Lambda_\mu\,. \tag{3.6} $$

In string field theory these transformations are connected by T-duality. The proof in sigma model approach is given in Refs.[9, 10, 11].

The open string theory is not invariant under these transformations. In Ref.[6] it is shown that for open string (up to boundary conditions which we will discuss later)

$$\delta_\Lambda S[x] = 2\kappa \int d\tau (\Lambda_\mu \dot{x}^\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Lambda_\mu \dot{x}^\mu/_{\sigma=0}) \,. \tag{3.7}$$

To obtain gauge invariant action we should add the term

$$S_{A_\Lambda}[x] = 2\kappa \int d\tau (A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} - A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu/_{\sigma=0}) \,, \tag{3.8}$$

where newly introduced vector field A_μ transforms with the same gauge parameter Λ_μ

$$\delta_\Lambda A_\mu = -\Lambda_\mu \,. \tag{3.9}$$

So, the gauge invariant action for open string is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{open\Lambda}[x] = S[x] + S_{A_\Lambda}[x] = \quad & \kappa \textstyle\int_\Sigma d^2\xi \sqrt{-g} \Big[\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}[x] + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}[x] \Big] \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu \\ + \quad & 2\kappa \textstyle\int d\tau (A_\mu[x] \dot{x}^\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} - A_\mu[x] \dot{x}^\mu/_{\sigma=0}) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, adding the term $S_{A_\Lambda}[x]$ we obtained the open string action invariant under local gauge transformations with parameter Λ_μ. It is natural to ask:

1. whether we can add some term $S_{A_\xi}[x]$ in order to obtain open string action invariant under general coordinate transformations with parameter ξ_μ?

2. whether the terms $S_{A_\Lambda}[x]$ and $S_{A_\xi}[x]$ are connected by T-duality transformations as well as theirs origins, the transformations (3.6) and (3.5)?

In this article we will show that the answer to both questions is affirmative.

The open string theory is not invariant under general coordinate transformations. It is also failed at string end-points. Using the equation of motion (2.4) we can show that

$$\delta_\xi S[x] = -2 \int d\tau \Big(\xi_\mu \, G^{-1\mu\nu} \gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \xi_\mu \, G^{-1\mu\nu} \gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)/_{\sigma=0} \Big) \,, \tag{3.11}$$

where σ-momentum $\gamma^{(0)}_\mu(x)$, defined in (2.6), is expression which appears in boundary conditions of the original theory. To obtain action invariant under general coordinate transformations we should add the term

$$S_{A_\xi}[x] = -2 \int d\tau \Big(\bar{A}_\mu G^{-1\mu\nu} \gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \bar{A}_\mu G^{-1\mu\nu} \gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)/_{\sigma=0} \Big) \,, \tag{3.12}$$

where the vector field $\bar{A}_\mu$ transforms with the gauge parameter of the general coordinate transformations ξ_μ

$$\delta_\xi \bar{A}_\mu = -\xi_\mu \,. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that variation of $S_{A_\xi}[x]$ does not include variation of metric $G^{-1\mu\nu}$ and σ-momentum $\gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)$. In fact, $\bar{A}_\mu$ is infinitesimal and variation of $G^{-1\mu\nu}$ or $\gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)$ will produce infinitesimal of the second order, which we will neglect.

So, the full gauge invariant action for open string is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{open}[x] &= S[x] + S_{A_\Lambda}[x] + S_{A_\xi}[x] \qquad (3.14)\\ &= \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \sqrt{-g}\Big[\tfrac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}[x] + \tfrac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}[x]\Big]\partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu \\ +2\kappa \quad \int d\tau \Big[\Big(A_\mu[x]\dot{x}^\mu - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}\bar{A}_\mu[x] G^{-1\mu\nu}\gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(A_\mu[x]\dot{x}^\mu - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}\bar{A}_\mu[x] G^{-1\mu\nu}\gamma^{(0)}_\nu(x)\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Not all terms of the last line will survive when we impose boundary conditions on the open string end-points (2.5).

We already denoted the coordinates with Neumann boundary conditions with x^a and that with Dirichlet boundary conditions with x^i. It means that $\delta x^a/_{\sigma=\pi}$ and $\delta x^a/_{\sigma=0}$ are arbitrary which produces $\gamma^{(0)}_a(x)/_{\sigma=\pi} = \gamma^{(0)}_a(x)/_{\sigma=0} = 0$. On the other hand $\delta x^i/_{\sigma=\pi} = 0$ and $\delta x^i/_{\sigma=0} = 0$, so that $\gamma^{(0)}_i(x)/_{\sigma=\pi}$ and $\gamma^{(0)}_i(x)/_{\sigma=0}$ are arbitrary. Consequently, the nontrivial background fields are $A_a \to A^N_a$ and $\bar{A}_i \to A^D_i$, where we introduced the indices N and D for vector fields corresponding to Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions. The complete gauge invariant action for open string is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{open}[x] &= \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \sqrt{-g}\Big[\tfrac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\nu}[x] + \tfrac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}} B_{\mu\nu}[x]\Big]\partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu \qquad (3.15)\\ + \quad 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big(A^N_a[x]\dot{x}^a - \tfrac{1}{\kappa} A^D_i[x] G^{-1ij}\gamma^{(0)}_j(x)\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(A^N_a[x]\dot{x}^a - \tfrac{1}{\kappa} A^D_i[x] G^{-1ij}\gamma^{(0)}_j(x)\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big]\,, \end{aligned}$$

where for simplicity we assumed that the metric tensor has a form

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & G_{ij} \end{pmatrix}\,. \qquad (3.16)$$

Note that the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{ab} &= B_{ab} + \partial_a A^N_b - \partial_b A^N_a\,, \qquad \mathcal{G}_{ab} = G_{ab}\,, \\ \mathcal{B}_{ij} &= B_{ij} - 2A^k_D B_{kij} + 2\partial_i(B_{jk} G^{-1kq} A^D_q) - 2\partial_j(B_{ik} G^{-1kq} A^D_q)\,, \\ \mathcal{G}_{ij} &= G_{ij} - 2(\partial_i A^D_j + \partial_j A^D_i)\,, \qquad (3.17) \end{aligned}$$

are gauge invariant under (3.5), (3.6) and transformations of the vector fields $\delta A^N_a = -\Lambda_a$ and $\delta A^D_i = -\xi_i$ and consequently they are physical. For the further benefit let us introduce notations

$$F^{(a)}_{ab} = \partial_a A^N_b - \partial_b A^N_a\,, \qquad F^{(s)}_{ij} = -2(\partial_i A^D_j + \partial_j A^D_i)\,. \qquad (3.18)$$

We are going to use the conformal gauge and the light-cone coordinates, so that the first term in S_{open} obtains the form of the action (2.2). For constant metric and Kalb-Ramond

fields we have

$$S_{open}[x] = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu} \partial_- x^\nu \tag{3.19}$$
$$+ \quad 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big(A_a^N[x]\dot{x}^a - \tfrac{1}{\kappa} A_i^D[x] G^{-1ij} \gamma_j^{(0)}(x) \Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(A_a^N[x]\dot{x}^a - \tfrac{1}{\kappa} A_i^D[x] G^{-1ij} \gamma_j^{(0)}(x) \Big)/_{\sigma=0} \Big] .$$

In the literature $A_a^N[x]$ is known as massless vector field on Dp-brane while $A_i^D[x]$ is known as massless scalar oscillations orthogonal to the Dp-brane. These are terms in relation to the Lorentz transformations that preserve the Dp-brane.

Note that inclusion of vector background fields change σ-momentum $\gamma_i^{(0)}$, defined in Eq.(2.6). In fact, we will get additional infinitesimal term linear in vector background fields. It is multiplied by other infinitesimal, A_i^D, and consequently we will neglect it.

It is common to take both the vector and the massless scalar fields to be constant, when the Buscher procedure can be applied. The constant massless scalar field perform uniform translation of the Dp-brane [8]. We are going to use generalized procedure [20, 12], so we are able to consider vector and massless scalar fields linear in coordinates with infinitesimal coefficient. As explained in Ref.[8] coordinate dependent massless scalar fields produce coordinate dependent translation, which curved Dp-brane. Consequently, our approach is able to describe infinitesimally curved Dp-brane. We are not going to do this in the present article, because for simplicity we will assume later in (3.20) that $A_i^D(x)$ depend only on x^i coordinates and not on x^a.

3.3 T-dual background fields of the open string

Let us perform the T-dualization procedure to the theory described by the action (3.19). The first term contains constant background fields and so we can apply standard Buscher's procedure of the Sec. 2.2. The remaind two terms are nontrivial because the background fields A_a^N and A_i^D are coordinate dependent. To simplify situation we will assume that vector fields are linear in coordinates

$$A_a^N(x) = A_a^0 - \frac{1}{2} F_{ab}^{(a)} x^b , \qquad A_i^D(x) = A_i^0 - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij}^{(s)} x^j , \tag{3.20}$$

so that corresponding field strengths are constant. The coefficients $F_{ab}^{(a)}$ and $F_{ij}^{(s)}$ are defined in (3.18). The first one is antisymmetric under a, b indices while the second one is symmetric under i, j indices.

These forms of background fields satisfies the additional space-time equations of motion for open string [7]. In our notation they take a form

$$\beta_a = -\tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_a{}^b \partial_b \Phi + \mathcal{G}_E^{-1bc} \partial_c \mathcal{B}_{ba} + \mathcal{G}_E^{-1bc} (\tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_a{}^d B_{dbe} \mathcal{B}^e{}_c + K_{ac}^\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_b f^\nu) , \tag{3.21}$$
$$\beta_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \Phi + \mathcal{G}_E^{-1ab} (\tfrac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}_b{}^c B_{\mu ac} - K_{\mu ab}) ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{ab} = B_{ab} + F^{(s)}_{ab}\,, \qquad \mathcal{G}^E_{ab} = G_{ab} - 4\mathcal{B}_{ac}G^{-1cd}\mathcal{B}_{db}\,, \tag{3.22}$$

$B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is field strength of the Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ defined in Sec.2.1. and K^μ_{ab} is extrinsic curvature. According to our assumptions $\Phi = const$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ab} = const$. So, $\mathcal{G}^E_{ab} = const$ and $B_{abc} = 0$. Since we are working with plane Dp-brane the extrinsic curvature is zero and both β-functions vanish.

Note that the part with Dirichlet vector field

$$\begin{aligned} S^D_A[x] &= -2\int d\tau\Big[\Big(A^D_i[x]G^{-1ij}\gamma^{(0)}_j(x)\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(A^D_i[x]G^{-1ij}\gamma^{(0)}_j(x)\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big] \\ &= 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big(2\dot{x}^i(BG^{-1})_i{}^jA^D_j[x] + x'^iA^D_i[x]\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} \\ &\quad -\Big(2\dot{x}^i(BG^{-1})_i{}^jA^D_j[x] + x'^iA^D_i[x]\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

using the form of the vector field (3.20) after partial integration over τ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S^D_A[x] &= 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big(2\dot{x}^iA^D_i[G^{-1}Bx] + x'^iA^D_i[x]\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} \\ &\quad -\Big(2\dot{x}^iA^D_i[G^{-1}Bx] + x'^iA^D_i[x]\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

So, we can conclude that following forms of the Dirichlet vector field are equivalent

$$(BG^{-1})_i{}^jA^D_j[x] \cong A^D_i[G^{-1}Bx]\,. \tag{3.25}$$

3.3.1 Auxiliary action

Because parts with vector fields depend on the coordinate x^μ itself and not on its derivatives with respect to τ and σ, it is not possible to apply standard Buscher's procedure. So, we will need generalized T-duality, developed in Ref.[20]. Even more, the part with $A^D_i(x)$ does not have the global shift symmetry, because the expression $\gamma^{(0)}_i$ contain the part $G_{ij}\,x'^j$ which is not total derivative with respect to integration variable τ. So, we should apply T-dualization procedure of the Ref.[12] which work in absence of global symmetry.

Following Ref.[12] let us introduce auxiliary action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{aux}[v_\pm, y] &= \kappa\int_\Sigma d^2\xi\Big[v^\mu_+\Pi_{+\mu\nu}v^\nu_- + \tfrac{1}{2}(v^\mu_+\partial_-y_\mu - \partial_+y_\mu v^\mu_-)\Big] \\ &+2\kappa\int d\tau\Big\{\Big[A^N_a(\Delta V)v^a_0 - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}A^D_i(\Delta V)G^{-1ij}\gamma^{(0)}_j(V)\Big]/_{\sigma=\pi} \\ &\quad -\Big[A^N_a(\Delta V)v^a_0 - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}A^D_i(\Delta V)G^{-1ij}\gamma^{(0)}_j(V)\Big]/_{\sigma=0}\Big\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where $\gamma^{(0)}_i(V) \equiv \kappa(2B_{ij}\dot{V}^j - G_{ij}V'^j) = \kappa(2B_{ij}v^j_0 - G_{ij}v^j_1)$ has been defined in accordance with (2.6). It can be obtained from the action (3.19), by making substitutions

$$\partial_\pm x^\mu \to v^\mu_\pm\,, \quad \dot{x}^\mu \to v^\mu_0\,, \quad x'^\mu \to v^\mu_1\,, \quad x^\mu \to \Delta V^\mu\,, \tag{3.27}$$

and adding the Lagrange multiplier term with Lagrange multiplier y_μ. This action is construct in the form of the gauge fixed action. Here $v^\mu_\pm$ are some auxiliary fields, which take over the role of the gauge fields. Similarly as in [20, 4, 12], the argument of the background fields is the line integral of the auxiliary fields taken along a path P (from ξ_0 to ξ)

$$\Delta V^\mu[v_+, v_-] \equiv \int_P d\xi^\alpha v^\mu_\alpha = \int_P (d\xi^+ v^\mu_+ + d\xi^- v^\mu_-). \tag{3.28}$$

It is easy to show that the auxiliary action $S_{aux}[x]$ (3.26) turns to the initial action $S_{open}[x]$ (3.19). Note that, as well as in Refs.[20, 12], the equation of motion with respect to y_μ forces the "field strength" to vanish

$$\partial_+ v^\mu_- - \partial_- v^\mu_+ = 0\,, \tag{3.29}$$

which is just the condition for the path independence of ΔV^μ. Using the solution of (3.29)

$$v^\mu_\pm = \partial_\pm x^\mu, \tag{3.30}$$

one obtains $\Delta V^\mu(\xi) = x^\mu(\xi) - x^\mu(\xi_0)$, and taking $x^\mu(\xi_0) = 0$ the auxiliary action reduces to the initial one (3.19).

3.3.2 T-dual action

The next step is to find the equations of motion with respect to the auxiliary fields $v^\mu_\pm$. To prepare this, let us first rewrite the part of the action (3.26) with integration over $d\tau$ to the integration over $d^2\xi = d\tau d\sigma$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{aux}[v_\pm, y] &= \kappa \textstyle\int_\Sigma d^2\xi \Big[v^\mu_+ \Pi_{+\mu\nu} v^\nu_- + \frac{1}{2}(v^\mu_+ \partial_- y_\mu - \partial_+ y_\mu v^\mu_-) \Big] \\ + \quad \kappa \textstyle\int_\Sigma d^2\xi \Big\{ \Big[A^N_a(V)(v^a_+ + v^a_-) - 2A^D_i(V) G^{-1ij} \Big(\Pi_{-jk}\, v^k_+ + \Pi_{+jk}\, v^k_- \Big) \Big] \Delta(\sigma) \Big\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where $\Delta(\sigma) \equiv \delta(\sigma - \pi) - \delta(\sigma)$ and we used the relations

$$v^\mu_\pm = v^\mu_0 \pm v^\mu_1\,, \tag{3.32}$$

and expressions (2.6) for $\gamma^{(0)}_i(x)$.

Let us first calculate variation over the arguments V^μ of the vector background fields. Using the form (3.20) of these fields and zero order equation of motion we can reexpress term with vector fields from (3.31) in the form

$$S_A[v_\pm, y] = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \Big\{ \Big[A^N_a(V)(v^a_+ + v^a_-) + 2A^D_i(G^{-1}\Pi_+ V) v^i_+ + 2A^D_i(G^{-1}\Pi_- V) v^i_- \Big] \Delta(\sigma) \Big\}\,. \tag{3.33}$$

It help us to find variation with respect arguments V^μ of the background fields

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta_V S_{aux}[v_\pm, y] \\ &= \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \left\{(\delta v_+^a + \delta v_-^a) A_a^N(V) + 2\delta v_+^i A_i^D(G^{-1}\Pi_+ V) + 2\delta v_-^i A_i^D(G^{-1}\Pi_- V)\right\}\Delta(\sigma)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Now, the equations of motion after variation with respect of the auxiliary fields $v_\mp^\mu$ are

$$\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu} v_\pm^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\pm y_\mu + \Big[\mp 2A_a^N(V) \mp 2\Pi_{\mp ij} G^{-1jk} A_k^D(V) \mp 2A_i^D(G^{-1}\Pi_\mp V)\Big]\Delta(\sigma) = 0\,. \tag{3.35}$$

Introducing new variables $\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}(V) = \{\mathcal{A}_{\pm a}(V), \mathcal{A}_{\pm i}(V)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{\pm a}(V) &\equiv A_a^N(V)\,, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\pm i}(V) &\equiv \Pi_{\mp ij} G^{-1jk} A_k^D(V) + A_i^D(G^{-1}\Pi_\mp V) \\ &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\left(BG^{-1}F^{(s)} + F^{(s)}G^{-1}B \mp F^{(s)}\right)_{ij} V^j\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

or in components

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{0a}(V) = A_a^N(V)\,, \quad \mathcal{A}_{1a}(V) = 0\,, \\ \mathcal{A}_{0i}(V) = (BG^{-1})_i{}^j A_j^D(V) + A_i^D(G^{-1}BV) = \mathcal{A}_{0i}^{(0)} - \tfrac{1}{4}(BG^{-1}F^{(s)} + F^{(s)}G^{-1}B)_{ij}V^j\,, \\ \mathcal{A}_{1i}(V) = -A_i^{(0)D} + \tfrac{1}{4}F_{ij}^{(s)}V^j = -A_i^D(V)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

we can rewrite the above equation as

$$\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu} v_\pm^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\pm y_\mu \mp 2\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}(V)\Delta(\sigma) = 0\,. \tag{3.38}$$

We introduced pair of effective vector fields $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu} = \{\mathcal{A}_{0\mu}\,, \mathcal{A}_{1\mu}\}$ instead of initial one $A_\mu = \{A_a^N\,, A_i^D\}$. So, we doubled the number of vector fields, but there are two constraints on the effective vector fields

$$\mathcal{A}_{1a}(V) = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{0i}(V) = -(BG^{-1})_i{}^j \mathcal{A}_{1j}(V) - \mathcal{A}_{1i}(G^{-1}BV)\,. \tag{3.39}$$

The second one we can also rewrite in the forms

$$(\Pi_+ G^{-1})_i{}^j \mathcal{A}_{+j}(V) + \mathcal{A}_{+i}(G^{-1}\Pi_+ V) = (\Pi_- G^{-1})_i{}^j \mathcal{A}_{-j}(V) + \mathcal{A}_{-i}(G^{-1}\Pi_- V)\,. \tag{3.40}$$

Using the equivalence (3.25) from now on the i-components of (3.36) and (3.37) we will express as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm i}(V) = 2\Pi_{\mp ij} G^{-1jk} A_k^D(V)\,, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{0i}(V) = 2(BG^{-1})_i{}^j A_j^D(V)\,. \tag{3.41}$$

Multiplying the equation (3.38) from the left with $2\kappa\theta_\pm$ we can solve it in terms of $v_\pm^\mu$

$$v_\pm^\mu = -\kappa\theta_\pm^{\mu\nu}\partial_\pm y_\nu \pm 4\kappa\theta_\pm^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_{\pm\nu}(V)\Delta(\sigma) = -\kappa\theta_\pm^{\mu\nu}\Big(\partial_\pm y_\nu \mp 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm\nu}(V)\Delta(\sigma)\Big)\,, \tag{3.42}$$

or in components

$$v_0^\mu = -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}\Big[\dot{y}_\nu - 4\mathcal{A}_{1\nu}\Delta(\sigma)\Big] + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\Big[y'_\nu - 4\mathcal{A}_{0\nu}\Delta(\sigma)\Big]\,, \tag{3.43}$$
$$v_1^\mu = -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}\Big[y'_\nu - 4\mathcal{A}_{0\nu}\Delta(\sigma)\Big] + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\Big[\dot{y}_\nu - 4\mathcal{A}_{1\nu}\Delta(\sigma)\Big]\,.$$

Substituting (3.42) in (3.28) we obtain

$$V^\mu = -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}(y_\nu - 4\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\nu) + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}(\tilde{y}_\nu - 4\mathcal{A}_\nu) = V_0^\mu + V_1^\mu\,, \tag{3.44}$$

where

$$\tilde{y}_\mu \equiv -\varepsilon_\alpha{}^\beta \int d\xi^\alpha \partial_\beta y_\mu = \int (d\tau y'_\mu + d\sigma \dot{y}_\mu)\,, \tag{3.45}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_\mu \equiv \int d\xi^\alpha \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu}\Delta(\sigma) = \int (d\tau\mathcal{A}_{0\mu} + d\sigma\mathcal{A}_{1\mu})\Delta(\sigma)\,,$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\mu \equiv -\varepsilon_\alpha{}^\beta \int d\xi^\alpha \mathcal{A}_{\beta\mu}\Delta(\sigma) = \int (d\tau\mathcal{A}_{1\mu} + d\sigma\mathcal{A}_{0\mu})\Delta(\sigma)\,.$$

The finite part V_0^μ and the infinitesimal one V_1^μ take a form

$$V_0^\mu = -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}y_\nu + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\tilde{y}_\nu\,, \qquad V_1^\mu = 4\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\nu - 4G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_\nu\,. \tag{3.46}$$

We are going to substitute the solution (3.42) back to the action (3.31). First we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} v_+^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu} v_-^\nu &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_+ y_\mu \theta_-^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu + 2\kappa\,\mathcal{A}_{+\mu}(V)\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu\Delta(\sigma) \\ &- 2\kappa\partial_+ y_\mu\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_{-\nu}(V)\Delta(\sigma) + 8\kappa\mathcal{A}_{+\mu}(V)\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_{-\nu}(V)\Delta^2(\sigma)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(v_+^\mu\partial_- y_\mu - \partial_+ y_\mu v_-^\mu) = \kappa\partial_+ y_\mu\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu - 2\kappa\mathcal{A}_{+\mu}(V)\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu\Delta(\sigma) + 2\kappa\partial_+ y_\mu\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_{-\nu}(V)\Delta(\sigma)\,. \tag{3.48}$$

Consequently, the first part of the T-dual action is

$$v_+^\mu\Pi_{+\mu\nu}v_-^\nu + \frac{1}{2}(v_+^\mu\partial_- y_\mu - \partial_+ y_\mu v_-^\mu) = \frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_+ y_\mu\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu + 8\kappa\mathcal{A}_{+\mu}(V)\theta_-^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{A}_{-\nu}(V)\Delta^2(\sigma)\,. \tag{3.49}$$

Substituting the solution (3.42) to the part of the action (3.31) with vector background fields we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[A_a^N(V)(v_+^a + v_-^a) - 2A_i^D(V)G^{-1ij}B_{jk}(v_+^k + v_-^k) + A_i^D(V)(v_+^i - v_-^i)\Big]\Delta(\sigma) \\ &= 2\Big[A_i^D(V)G^{-1ij}\dot{y}_j - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}A_a^N(V)\,{}^\star\gamma^a_{(0)}(y)\Big]\Delta(\sigma) \\ &+4\kappa A_a^N(\theta_+^{ab}\mathcal{A}_{+b} - \theta_-^{ab}\mathcal{A}_{-b})\Delta^2(\sigma) - 4A_i^D G^{-1ij}(\mathcal{A}_{+j} - \mathcal{A}_{-j})\Delta^2(\sigma)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

where ${}^\star\gamma^a_{(0)}(y)$ has been defined in (3.2). Similarly as in the case of the initial theory, open string T-dual σ-momentum has additional infinitesimal term proportional to T-dual vector fields. We will neglect it because it is multiplied by another infinitesimal $A^N_a(V)$.

Since vector fields are infinitesimal, we can neglect all terms bilinear in vector fields which help us to avoid troubles with $\Delta^2(\sigma)$. Consequently, the T-dual action takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star S[y] = \tfrac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu \theta^{\mu\nu}_- \partial_- y_\nu \\ +2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big(A^D_i(V)G^{-1ij}\dot y_j - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}A^N_a(V)\,{}^\star\gamma^a_{(0)}(V)\Big)\Big/_{\sigma=\pi} \\ -\Big(A^D_i(V)G^{-1ij}\dot y_j - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}A^N_a(V)\,{}^\star\gamma^a_{(0)}\Big)\Big/_{\sigma=0}(V)\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

3.3.3 T-dual background fields

Because T-dual action should has the same form as the initial one (3.19) but in terms of T-dual fields

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star S[y] = \kappa\int d^2\xi \partial_+ y_\mu\, {}^\star\Pi^{\mu\nu}_+\,\partial_- y_\nu \\ +2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big({}^\star A^i_N(V)\dot y_i - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}\,{}^\star A^a_D(V)\,{}^\star G^{-1}_{ab}\,{}^\star\gamma^b_{(0)}(V)\Big)\Big/_{\sigma=\pi} \\ -\Big({}^\star A^i_N(V)\dot y_i - \tfrac{1}{\kappa}\,{}^\star A^a_D(V)\,{}^\star G^{-1}_{ab}\,{}^\star\gamma^b_{(0)}(V)\Big)\Big/_{\sigma=0}\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

we can express T-dual background fields in terms of initial ones

$${}^\star\Pi^{\mu\nu}_+ = \frac{\kappa}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}_-\,,\quad {}^\star A^a_D(V) = G^{-1ab}_E A^N_b(V)\,,\quad {}^\star A^i_N(V) = G^{-1ij}A^D_j(V)\,. \tag{3.53}$$

As one might expect, T-dual metric and T-dual Kalb-Ramond fields remain the same as in the closed string case, Eq.(2.9).

With the help of last two relations we can find effective T-dual vector fields in analogy with first relation (3.41) and first relation (3.36)

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_\pm(V) = 2\,{}^\star\Pi^{ab}_\mp\,{}^\star G^{-1}_{bc}\,{}^\star A^c_D(V) = \kappa\,\theta^{ab}_\pm A^N_b(V)\,, \\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_\pm(V) = {}^\star A^i_N(V) = G^{-1ij}A^D_j(V)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

In analogy with second relation (3.41), or from the previous relations we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V) = 2({}^\star B\,{}^\star G^{-1})^a{}_b\,{}^\star A^b_D(V) = \kappa\,\theta^{ab}A^N_b(V)\,,\quad {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1(V) = -{}^\star A^a_D(V) = -G^{-1ab}_E A^N_b(V)\,, \\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_0(V) = {}^\star A^i_N(V) = G^{-1ij}A^D_j(V)\,,\qquad {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_1(V) = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

We introduced two effective T-dual vector fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha = \{{}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0\,, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1\}$ instead of initial one ${}^\star A^\mu = \{{}^\star A^a_D\,, {}^\star A^i_N\}$, but we have two constraints

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V) = -2({}^\star B{}^\star G^{-1})^a{}_b\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_1(V) = 2(G^{-1}B)^a{}_b\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_1(V)\,, \\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_1(V) = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

The first relation we can rewrite in the forms

$$^\star\Pi_+^{ab\star}G_{bc}^{-1\star}\mathcal{A}_+^c(V) = {}^\star\Pi_-^{ab\star}G_{bc}^{-1\star}\mathcal{A}_-^c(V)\,, \qquad \Pi_{-ab}{}^\star\mathcal{A}_+^b(V) = \Pi_{+ab}{}^\star\mathcal{A}_-^b(V)\,. \tag{3.57}$$

Let us make two observations. First, vector fields corresponding to Neumann (Dirichlet) boundary conditions of the initial theory $A_a^N(x)$ in front of $\dot{x}^a$ in (3.19) ($A_i^D(x)$ in front of $\gamma_i^{(0)}$ in (3.19)) after T-dualization turn to the fields corresponding to Dirichlet (Neumann) boundary conditions of the T-dual theory ${}^\star A_D^a(V)$ in front of ${}^\star\gamma_{(0)}^a$ in (3.52) (${}^\star A_N^i(V)$ in front of $\dot{y}_i$ in (3.52)). Therefore, T-duality interchange Neumann with Dirichlet gauge fields. Second, the T-dual vector background fields depend not on y_μ but on finite part of expression (3.46)

$$V^\mu \to V_0^\mu = -\kappa\,\theta^{\mu\nu}y_\nu + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\,\tilde{y}_\nu\,. \tag{3.58}$$

We can neglect infinitesimal part V_1^μ because it always appears in argument of the vector background fields, with infinitesimal coefficient. So it will produce the square of the vector fields which we will neglect.

The variable $\tilde{y}_\mu$ naturally appears in Buscher's approach, as a part of variable V^μ when we perform T-dualization along coordinates on which background fields depend. Then we must introduce gauge invariant coordinates which are line integrals of the covariant derivatives. The corresponding argument of T-dual background fields V^μ is solution of T-dual transformation lows and depend not only on y_μ, but it is linear combination of both y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$. Therefore, the variable $V^\mu(y_\mu, \tilde{y})$ and not variable y_μ is T-dual to x^μ.

The variable $\tilde{y}_\mu$ is defined in terms of y_μ, see eq.(3.45), as line integral of σ and τ derivatives of y_μ. It produces non-locality of the arguments of background fields which in our formulation is source of non-geometry. On the finite part of equation of motion (a case that is always happens) it does not depend on the integration path. Because it always appears as a part of variable V^μ, we can take for it the same boundary conditions as for variable y_μ. Then the variable V^μ has definite boundary conditions.

The variable $\tilde{y}_\mu$, as a part of V^μ, is significant because it distinguishes non-geometric from geometric theories. In the literature, this kind of theories is recognized as the theories with R-flux. Some authors refers to them as exotic configuration. I expect that just background fields dependence on $V^\mu(y_\mu, \tilde{y}_\mu)$ is the source of these exotic non-geometric behavior. In fact, as was shown in Refs.[5], the presence of $\tilde{y}_\mu$ produce non-commutativity of the closed string variables and non-associativity.

Later, in Secs.5. and 6. we will see that $\tilde{y}_\mu$ has central role in definition of field strength for non-geometric theories and it is basic variable for truly non-geometric theories.

Note that T-dual of T-dual produces the initial background fields. For example

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\star\star}A_a^N(x) &= {}^\star G_{ab}^{-1\star}A_D^b(V) = G_{ab}^E G_E^{-1bc}A_c^N(x) = A_a^N(x)\,, \\ {}^{\star\star}A_i^D(x) &= {}^\star G_{Eij}^{-1}{}^\star A_N^j(V) = G_{ij}G^{-1jk}A_k^D(x) = A_i^D(x)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

From (3.30) and (3.42) we can find T-dual transformation lows

$$\partial_\pm x^\mu \cong -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm \partial_\pm y_\nu \pm 4\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm \mathcal{A}_{\pm\nu}(V)\Delta(\sigma)\,, \tag{3.60}$$

while its inverse is

$$\partial_\pm y_\mu \cong -2\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}\partial_\pm x^\nu \pm 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}(x)\Delta(\sigma)\,. \tag{3.61}$$

In fact the last transformation can be obtained after T-dualization of the T-dual action (3.51). Both transformations defer from the closed string ones by the infinitesimal term which contains vector background fields $\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}$.

4 T-duality in terms of field strengths

In the previous section we investigated T-duality of the vector fields. In the initial (geometric) theory we considered gauge fields linear in coordinates x^μ. We obtained that gauge fields of the T-dual (non-geometric) theory is linear in new variable V^μ, which is function on T-dual coordinate y_μ and its double $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

Generally, it is not clear how to define the field strength for non-geometric theories. So we will go a little roundabout. It is known that in geometric theories, if both ends of the open string are attached to the same Dp-brane, the term in the action which contains vector background field with integration over τ can be transformed to the term in the action which contains corresponding field strength with integration over $d^2\xi = d\tau d\sigma$. We are going to generalize such relation to non-geometric theories.

4.1 The field strengths of initial theory

After some direct calculation for Neumann vector fields we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S^N_A[x] &= 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big(A^N_a[x]\dot{x}^a\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(A^N_a[x]\dot{x}^a\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big]\,, \\ &= -2\kappa\int d^2\xi \dot{x}^a\mathcal{F}_{ab}\,x'^b = \kappa\int d^2\xi\partial_+x^a\mathcal{F}_{ab}\,\partial_-x^b\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where only antisymmetric part contributes

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{ab} = F^{(a)}_{ab} = \partial_a A^N_b(x) - \partial_b A^N_a(x) = \partial_a\mathcal{A}_{0b}(x) - \partial_b\mathcal{A}_{0a}(x)\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{ab} = 0\,. \tag{4.2}$$

We can trivially reexpress (4.1) in the form where the effective background vector field $\mathcal{A}_{0a}$ is multiplied with $\dot{x}^a$

$$S^N_A[x] = 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big(\mathcal{A}_{0a}[x]\dot{x}^a/_{\sigma=\pi} - \mathcal{A}_{0a}[x]\dot{x}^a/_{\sigma=0}\Big)\,. \tag{4.3}$$

We introduced the names *effective background vector field* $\mathcal{A}_a$ and corresponding *effective field strength* $\mathcal{F}_{ab}$ for variables obtained on that way. In this simplest case we

have standard picture: one effective vector field $\mathcal{A}_{0a} = A^N_a$ and corresponding antisymmetric effective field strength $\mathcal{F}_{ab} = \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{ab}$. In the next cases the situation will be more complicated.

Instead of (4.2) we can also accept the relation (4.1) as definitions of the field strength for geometric theories. Let us extend this definition to the non-standard theories. Unlike Neumann vector fields which are coupled with $\dot{x}^a$, Dirichlet vector fields are coupled with σ-momentum $\gamma^{(0)}_j(x)$, which will produce additional problems. Using the finite part of the equation of motion $\ddot{x}^i = x''^i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^D_A[x] = 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big(-\tfrac{1}{\kappa} A^D_i[x] G^{-1ij} \gamma^{(0)}_j(x) \Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(-\tfrac{1}{\kappa} A^D_i[x] G^{-1ij} \gamma^{(0)}_j(x) \Big)/_{\sigma=0} \Big] , \\ = \kappa \int d^2\xi \, \partial_+ x^i \, \mathcal{F}_{ij} \, \partial_- x^j \, . \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Now, both symmetric and antisymmetric parts contribute

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{ij} \, , \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{ij} = \Big[\partial_i \Big(2 B_{jk} G^{-1kq} A^D_q \Big) - \partial_j \Big(2 B_{ik} G^{-1kq} A^D_q \Big) \Big] = \tfrac{1}{2} \Big(B_{ik} G^{-1kq} F^{(s)}_{qj} + F^{(s)}_{ik} G^{-1kq} B_{qj} \Big) \\ = F^{(a)}_{ij} = \partial_i \mathcal{A}_{0j}(x) - \partial_j \mathcal{A}_{0i}(x) \, , \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{ij} = -2(\partial_i A^D_j + \partial_j A^D_i) = F^{(s)}_{ij} = 2 \Big(\partial_i \mathcal{A}_{1j}(x) + \partial_j \mathcal{A}_{1i}(x) \Big) . \tag{4.7}$$

For Dirichlet sector, analogy with standard approach does not exist. In that case both components of the effective background vector field $\mathcal{A}_{0i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1i}$, as well as both $\dot{x}^i$ and x'^i contribute. So, we can reexpress (4.4) as

$$\begin{aligned} S^D_A[x] \; &= 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big(\mathcal{A}_{0i}[x] \dot{x}^i - \mathcal{A}_{1i}[x] x'^i \Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(\mathcal{A}_{0i}[x] \dot{x}^i - \mathcal{A}_{1i}[x] x'^i \Big)/_{\sigma=0} \Big] , \\ &= 2\kappa \eta^{\alpha\beta} \int d\tau \Big(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha i}[x] \partial_\beta x^i /_{\sigma=\pi} - \mathcal{A}_{\alpha i}[x] \partial_\beta x^i /_{\sigma=0} \Big) \\ &= 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha i}[x] \dot{x}^{\alpha i} /_{\sigma=\pi} - \mathcal{A}_{\alpha i}[x] \dot{x}^{\alpha i} /_{\sigma=0} \Big) , \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{0i}[x]$ has been defined in (3.41), $\mathcal{A}_{1i}[x]$ in (3.37) and we introduced notation $\dot{x}^{\alpha i} = \{\dot{x}^i, -x'^i\} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta x^i$.

Note that, although we work with a initial theory, this action does depend on $\mathcal{A}_{1i}[x]$ and the vector field couples not only with $\dot{x}^i$ but with x'^i also. This is consequence of the fact that original vector field $A^D_i(x)$ is not multiplied by the $\dot{x}^i$ but by σ-momentum $G^{-1ij} \gamma^{(0)}_j(x)$.

4.2 The field strengths of T-dual theory

The case with T-dual theory is more complicated because vector fields depend on V^μ which is function of two variables y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

4.2.1 The case of Dirichlet vector fields

For Dirichlet vector fields, with the help of finite part of the equation of motion $\ddot{y}_a = y''_a$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star S^D_A[y] &= 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big(-\tfrac{1}{\kappa} {}^\star A^a_D(V)\, {}^\star G^{-1}_{ab}\, {}^\star\gamma^b_{(0)}(y) \Big)\Big/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(-\tfrac{1}{\kappa} {}^\star A^a_D(V)\, {}^\star G^{-1}_{ab}\, {}^\star\gamma^b_{(0)}(y) \Big)\Big/_{\sigma=0} \Big] , \\ &= \kappa \int d^2\xi \partial_+ y_a\, {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}\, \partial_- y_b \, . \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Here we have

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab} = {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}\, {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} \, , \tag{4.10}$$

where antisymmetric

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} &= \kappa \Big({}^\star G_E\, {}^\star\theta\, {}^\star F\, {}^\star B - {}^\star B\, {}^\star F^T\, {}^\star\theta\, {}^\star G_E \Big)^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}({}^\star F^a{}_c\, {}^\star G^{cb} - {}^\star G^{ac}\, {}^\star F^T{}_c{}^b) \\ &= 2\, {}^\star B^{ac} ({}^\star F^T {}^\star G^{-1} - {}^\star G^{-1} {}^\star F)_{cd} {}^\star B^{db} - \frac{1}{2}({}^\star F^a{}_c\, {}^\star G^{cb} - {}^\star G^{ac}\, {}^\star F^T{}_c{}^b) \, , \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

and symmetric part

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} = -4 \Big({}^\star F\, {}^\star B + {}^\star B\, {}^\star G^{-1}\, {}^\star F\, {}^\star G \Big)^{ab} \, , \tag{4.12}$$

are expressed in terms of coefficient ${}^\star F^a{}_b$, defined with the relation

$${}^\star A^a_D(V) = {}^\star A^a_0 - \frac{1}{2}\, {}^\star F^a{}_b V^b \, . \tag{4.13}$$

Taking into account that with the help of (3.53) from first relation (3.20) and (4.13) we have ${}^\star F^a{}_b = G_E^{-1ac} F_{cb}$ it follows

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} = -\kappa^2 \theta^{ac} F^{(a)}_{cd}\, \theta^{db} - G_E^{-1ac} F^{(a)}_{cd}\, G_E^{-1db} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} \Big[\theta^{ac}_+ F^{(a)}_{cd} \theta^{db}_+ + \theta^{ac}_- F^{(a)}_{cd} \theta^{db}_- \Big] , \tag{4.14}$$

and

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} = -2\kappa \Big[G_E^{-1ac} F^{(a)}_{cd}\, \theta^{db} + \theta^{ac} F^{(a)}_{cd}\, G_E^{-1db} \Big] = \kappa^2 \Big[\theta^{ac}_+ F^{(a)}_{cd} \theta^{db}_+ - \theta^{ac}_- F^{(a)}_{cd} \theta^{db}_- \Big] . \tag{4.15}$$

Note that neither of them do not depend on the symmetric part $F^{(s)}_{ab}$. Because, according to (4.2) $\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{ab} = F^{(a)}_{ab}$ we can rewrite above equations in terms of effective field strength $\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{ab}$

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} = -\kappa^2 \theta^{ac} \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd}\, \theta^{db} - G_E^{-1ac} \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd}\, G_E^{-1db} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} \Big[\theta^{ac}_+ \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd} \theta^{db}_+ + \theta^{ac}_- \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd} \theta^{db}_- \Big] , \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} = -2\kappa\Big[G_E^{-1ac}\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd}\,\theta^{db} + \theta^{ac}\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd}\,G_E^{-1db}\Big] = \kappa^2\Big[\theta^{ac}_+\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd}\theta^{db}_+ - \theta^{ac}_-\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{cd}\theta^{db}_-\Big]\,. \quad (4.17) $$

In Dirichlet sector of T-dual theory we can reexpress the term ${}^\star S^D_A[y]$ in the "standard" form where the effective vector fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_\alpha$ are multiplied by the $\dot{y}^\alpha_a = \{\dot{y}_a, -y'_a\} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta y_a$, so that the term with vector background fields takes the form

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^\star S^D_A[y] &= 2\kappa\eta^{\alpha\beta}\int d\tau\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_\alpha[V]\,\partial_\beta y_a/_{\sigma=\pi} - {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_\alpha[V]\,\partial_\beta y_a/_{\sigma=0}\Big) \\ &= 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_\alpha[V]\,\dot{y}^\alpha_a/_{\sigma=\pi} - {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_\alpha[V]\,\dot{y}^\alpha_a/_{\sigma=0}\Big)\,, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18) $$

where ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0[V]$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1[V]$ have been defined in (3.55).

4.2.2 The case of Neumann vector fields

For T-dual case, corresponding to the Neumann vector field $A^i_N(V)$, we define the field strength

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij} = {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}\,{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(s)}\,, \quad (4.19) $$

with the relation

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^\star S^N_A[y] &= 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big({}^\star A^i_N(V)\dot{y}_i\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big({}^\star A^i_N(V)\dot{y}_i\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big] \\ &= \kappa\int d^2\xi\,\partial_+ y_i\,{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}\,\partial_- y_j\,. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20) $$

After some calculations we obtain

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(a)} = -{}^\star B^{ik}\,({}^\star F^T)_k{}^j - {}^\star F^i{}_k\,{}^\star B^{kj}\,, \quad (4.21) $$

and

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(s)} = -{}^\star F^i{}_k\,{}^\star G^{kj} - {}^\star G^{ik}\,({}^\star F^T)_k{}^j\,, \quad (4.22) $$

where the coefficient ${}^\star F^i{}_j$ is defined as

$$ {}^\star A^i_N(V) = {}^\star A^i_0 - \frac{1}{2}\,{}^\star F^i{}_j V^j\,. \quad (4.23) $$

Using second equation (3.20), (3.53) and (4.23) we obtain ${}^\star F^i{}_j = G^{-1ik}F^{(s)}_{kj}$ and consequently

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(a)} = -\frac{\kappa}{4}\left(\theta^{ik}F^{(s)}_{kq}G^{-1qj} + G^{-1ik}F^{(s)}_{kq}\theta^{qj}\right)\,, \quad (4.24) $$

and

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(s)} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(G_E^{-1ik}F^{(s)}_{kq}G^{-1qj} + G^{-1ik}F^{(s)}_{kq}G_E^{-1qj}\right)\,. \quad (4.25) $$

We can eliminate $F_{ij}^{(a)}$ and $F_{ij}^{(s)}$ from (4.6), (4.7) and (4.24) and express ${}^\star\mathcal{F}_{(a)}^{ij}$ in terms of $\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(a)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(s)}$. This is not a direct calculation, but we can check that expression

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}_{(a)}^{ij} = -\kappa^2\theta^{ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(a)}\,\theta^{qj} - G_E^{-1ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(a)}\,G_E^{-1qj} - \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(G_E^{-1ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(s)}\,\theta^{qj} + \theta^{ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(s)}\,G_E^{-1qj}\right), \quad (4.26) $$

is proper solution. Similarly, we can eliminate the same variables $F_{ij}^{(a)}$ and $F_{ij}^{(s)}$ from (4.6), (4.7) and (4.25) and express ${}^\star\mathcal{F}_{(s)}^{ij}$ in terms of $\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(a)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(s)}$

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}_{(s)}^{ij} = -\kappa^2\theta^{ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(s)}\,\theta^{qj} - G_E^{-1ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(s)}\,G_E^{-1qj} - 2\kappa\left(G_E^{-1ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(a)}\,\theta^{qj} + \theta^{ik}\mathcal{F}_{kq}^{(a)}\,G_E^{-1qj}\right). \quad (4.27) $$

Similarly, in Neumann sector of T-dual theory we can reexpress the term ${}^\star S_A^N[y]$ in the form

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^\star S_A^N[y] &= 2\kappa\eta^{\alpha\beta}\int d\tau\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^i[V]\,\partial_\beta y_i/_{\sigma=\pi} - {}^\star\,\mathcal{A}_\alpha^i[V]\,\partial_\beta y_i/_{\sigma=0}\Big) \\ &= 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^i[V]\,\dot{y}_i^\alpha/_{\sigma=\pi} - {}^\star\,\mathcal{A}_\alpha^i[V]\,\dot{y}_i^\alpha/_{\sigma=0}\Big), \end{aligned} \quad (4.28) $$

where the effective vector fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^i[V] = \{{}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^i[V]\,, {}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^i[V]\}$ introduced in (3.55) are multiplied by the $\dot{y}_i^\alpha = \{\dot{y}_i, -y_i'\} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta y_i$. We have put it in the suggestive form of (4.18) although it is much simpler. Because, according to (3.55) ${}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^i[V] = 0$ it has a form

$$ {}^\star S_A^N[y] = 2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^i(V)\dot{y}_i\Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^i(V)\dot{y}_i\Big)/_{\sigma=0}\Big]. \quad (4.29) $$

4.3 T-dual field strength in terms of initial one

Let us introduce the complete field strengths

$$ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}\,, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{ab}^{(a)} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(a)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.30) $$

which contain Neumann parts $\mathcal{F}_{ab}^{(a)}$ defined in (4.2), as well as Dirichlet ones $\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(a)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(s)}$ defined in (4.6) and (4.7). Then, taking into account that according with (3.39) $\mathcal{A}_{1a}(x) = 0$, we can rewrite the action with vector background fields as

$$ \begin{aligned} S_A(x) = S_A^N(x) + S_A^D(x) &= 2\kappa\eta^{\alpha\beta}\int d\tau\Big(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu}[x]\,\partial_\beta x^\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} - \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu}[x]\,\partial_\beta x^\mu/_{\sigma=0}\Big) \\ &= \kappa\int d^2\xi\partial_+ x^\mu\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial_- x^\nu\,, \end{aligned} \quad (4.31) $$

where the expressions for terms $S_A^N(x)$ and $S_A^D(x)$ have been defined in (4.3) and (4.8). Note that $S_A(x)$ has the same form as initial action and according to (4.30) contains both symmetric and antisymmetric parts. So, the other way to introduce vector background fields is to substitute Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ with

$$ B_{\mu\nu} \to \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}\,, \qquad G_{\mu\nu} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}\,. \quad (4.32) $$

Note that according to (3.17) the new variables are just gauge invariant ones. Then the open string action takes the form

$$S_{open} = \kappa \int d^2\xi \partial_+ x^\mu \Big(\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \Big) \partial_- x^\nu \,. \tag{4.33}$$

Because all background fields in this action are constant we already know the form of T-dual fields for such a actions. In analogy with (7.18) we have

$$^\star\mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{G}_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}, \quad ^\star\mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Theta^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{4.34}$$

where according to (7.5)

$$\mathcal{G}^E_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} - 4(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{B})_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \Theta^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\kappa}(\mathcal{G}_E^{-1}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{G}^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{4.35}$$

Taking into account that the vector background fields and consequently their field strengths are infinitesimal we can separate infinitesimal part of $^\star\mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} ^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} &= -G_E^{-1\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}G_E^{-1\sigma\nu} - \kappa^2\theta^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu} - \tfrac{\kappa}{2}\Big(G_E^{-1\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu} + \theta^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}G_E^{-1\sigma\nu}\Big) \\ &= -\tfrac{\kappa^2}{2}\Big(\theta^{\mu\rho}_+\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_+ + \theta^{\mu\rho}_-\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_-\Big) + \tfrac{\kappa^2}{4}\Big(\theta^{\mu\rho}_+\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_+ - \theta^{\mu\rho}_-\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_-\Big) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

and infinitesimal part of $^\star\mathcal{G}^{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} ^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} &= -G_E^{-1\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}G_E^{-1\sigma\nu} - \kappa^2\theta^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu} - 2\kappa\Big(G_E^{-1\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu} + \theta^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}G_E^{-1\sigma\nu}\Big) \\ &= -\tfrac{\kappa^2}{2}\Big(\theta^{\mu\rho}_+\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_+ + \theta^{\mu\rho}_-\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_-\Big) + \kappa^2\Big(\theta^{\mu\rho}_+\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_+ - \theta^{\mu\rho}_-\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\theta^{\sigma\nu}_-\Big) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Here $\theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm$ has been defined in (7.6) and the complete T-dual field strength

$$^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} \,, \quad ^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} = \begin{pmatrix} ^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} & 0 \\ 0 & ^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(a)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad ^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} = \begin{pmatrix} ^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} & 0 \\ 0 & ^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.38}$$

contain Neumann parts $^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)}$ and $^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)}$ as well as Dirichlet ones $^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(a)}$ and $^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(s)}$. Therefore, taking into account that $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{ab} = 0$, Eq.(4.36) is in complete agreement with (4.16) and (4.26), as well as Eq.(4.37) is in complete agreement with (4.17) and (4.27).

5 The field strength for non-geometric theories

In our approach characteristic features of non-geometric theories are background dependence on variable V^μ which includes dependence on both T-dual coordinate y_μ and its double $\tilde{y}_\mu$. In Refs.[4] and [5] it was shown that V^μ-dependence produces non-commutativity and non-associativity of the closed string coordinates. It is also the origin of difficulties in definition of field strength of non-geometric theories. In this section we offer solution of

this problem and define field strength of non-geometric theories which include derivation with respect to both y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

It is well known that in geometric theories the field strength for Abelian vector field $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x)$ is defined simple as $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu$, where with ∂_μ we denoted derivation with respect to the variable x^μ. In non-geometric theories the vector field $\mathcal{A}_\mu(V)$ depend on $V^\mu = -\kappa\,\theta^{\mu\nu} y_\nu + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\,\tilde{y}_\nu$, which means that it depend on two variables y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$. Generally speaking, in order to obtain the field strength for non-geometric theories we should have derivative with respect to both variables y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

5.1 The non-geometric field strengths in terms of effective gauge fields

For the initial theory, according to (4.2), (4.6) and (4.7) and taking into account that $\mathcal{A}_{1a} = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_{0\nu}(x) - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_{0\mu}(x)\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{\mu\nu} = 2\Big[\partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_{1\nu}(x) + \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_{1\mu}(x)\Big]\,. \tag{5.1}$$

The antisymmetric part has a standard form, but we obtained non-trivial symmetric part, also.

Let us consider field strengths of T-dual non-geometric theories. Until now we obtained the complete expressions for T-dual field strengths ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ for non-geometric theories. The next step is to write out these expressions in terms of derivative of T-dual gauge fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V)$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1(V)$ with respect to variables y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$ and find local gauge symmetry in such a cases.

With the help of first line in (3.55) and expression (3.58) we find the antisymmetric

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{0(a)} &\equiv \partial^a_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_0(V) - \partial^b_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V) = -\kappa^2(\theta F_{(a)}\theta)^{ab}\,,\\
{}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{1(a)} &\equiv \partial^a_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_1(V) - \partial^b_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1(V) = -(G_E^{-1}F_{(a)}G_E^{-1})^{ab}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and symmetric parts

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{1(s)} &\equiv \partial^a_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_1(V) + \partial^b_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1(V) = -\tfrac{\kappa}{2}(G_E^{-1}F_{(a)}\theta + \theta F_{(a)}G_E^{-1})^{ab}\,,\\
{}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{0(s)} &\equiv \partial^a_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_0(V) + \partial^b_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V) = -\tfrac{\kappa}{2}(G_E^{-1}F_{(a)}\theta + \theta F_{(a)}G_E^{-1})^{ab}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where with ∂^a_y and $\partial^a_{\tilde{y}}$ we denoted partial derivations with respect to y_a and $\tilde{y}_a$.

With the help of last line in (3.55) and expression (3.58), for ij sector, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{0(a)} &\equiv \partial^i_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^j_0(V) - \partial^j_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_0(V) = -\tfrac{\kappa}{4}(G^{-1}F_{(s)}\theta + \theta F_{(s)}G^{-1})^{ij}\,,\\
{}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{0(s)} &\equiv \partial^i_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^j_0(V) + \partial^j_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_0(V) = -\tfrac{1}{4}(G^{-1}F_{(s)}G_E^{-1} + G_E^{-1}F_{(s)}G^{-1})^{ij}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Because according to (3.55) ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_1(V) = 0$, all corresponding field strengths (both symmetric and antisymmetric parts) vanish ${}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ij}_1 = 0 = {}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ij}_1$.

Comparing expressions (5.2) with (4.14) we find

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} = {}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{0(a)} + {}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{1(a)} = \partial^a_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_0(V) - \partial^b_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V) + \partial^a_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_1(V) - \partial^b_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1(V)\,. \quad (5.5) $$

Similarly, comparing expressions (5.3) with (4.15) we have

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} = 2\left({}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{0(s)} + {}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{1(s)}\right) = 2\left(\partial^a_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_0(V) + \partial^b_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_0(V) + \partial^a_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^b_1(V) + \partial^b_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^a_1(V)\right) \quad (5.6) $$

For Dirichlet sector, comparing expression (4.24) with first relation (5.4) we obtain

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(a)} = {}^\star_y\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{0(a)} = \partial^i_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^j_0(V) - \partial^j_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_0(V)\,, \quad (5.7) $$

while comparing expression (4.25) with second relation (5.4) we have

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{(s)} = 2\,{}^\star_{\tilde{y}}\mathcal{F}^{ij}_{0(s)} = 2\Big(\partial^i_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^j_0(V) + \partial^j_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_0(V)\Big)\,. \quad (5.8) $$

Taking into account that ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^i_1(V) = 0$, we can conclude that the same relations are valid for both Neumann and Dirichlet sectors. Consequently, such form valid for complete field strengths, with μ, ν indices and we have

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} &= \partial^\mu_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_0(V) - \partial^\nu_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V) + \partial^\mu_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_1(V) - \partial^\nu_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)\,, \\ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} &= 2\left[\partial^\mu_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_0(V) + \partial^\nu_{\tilde{y}}\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V) + \partial^\mu_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_1(V) + \partial^\nu_y\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9) $$

If we define $y^\alpha_\mu = \{y^0_\mu = y_\mu,\, y^1_\mu = -\tilde{y}_\mu\}$ and $\partial^\mu_\alpha \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha_\mu} = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu}\,, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}_\mu}\}$ we ca rewrite the above equations in compact form

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}\Big[\partial^\mu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_\beta(V) - \partial^\nu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\beta(V)\Big]\,, \quad {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} = -2\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\Big[\partial^\mu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_\beta(V) + \partial^\nu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\beta(V)\Big] \quad (5.10) $$

Finally, we have

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \;& {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} + \tfrac{1}{2}{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} = \eta^{\alpha\beta}\Big[\partial^\mu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_\beta(V) - \partial^\nu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\beta(V)\Big] - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\Big[\partial^\mu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_\beta(V) + \partial^\nu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\beta(V)\Big] \\ = \;& (\eta^{\alpha\beta} - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta})\,\partial^\mu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_\beta(V) - (\eta^{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta})\,\partial^\nu_\alpha\, {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\beta(V)\,. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11) $$

We can check this expression in other way. From (4.18) and (4.28) we have

$$ {}^\star S_A[y] = {}^\star S^D_A[y] + {}^\star S^N_A[y] = 2\kappa\eta^{\alpha\beta}\int d\tau\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha[V]\,\partial_\beta y_\mu/_{\sigma=\pi} - {}^\star\,\mathcal{A}^\mu_\alpha[V]\,\partial_\beta y_\mu/_{\sigma=0}\Big)\,. \quad (5.12) $$

After transition from integration over τ to integration over $d^2\xi = d\tau d\sigma$ and partial integration over τ we obtain

$$ {}^\star S_A[y] = \kappa\int d^2\xi \partial_+ y_\mu {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}\partial_- y_\nu\,, \quad (5.13) $$

where ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ is just expression (5.11), obtained in other way previously.

Let us stress that field strength of the initial theory is particular case of (5.11). In fact, in that case background fields depend only on x^μ and not on $\tilde{x}^\mu$. So, if we in Eq.(5.11) omit terms which contain derivatives with respect to tilde variable $\tilde{y}_\mu = -y^1_\mu$, we obtain the relation of the same form as that in (5.1).

The expression (5.11) we can consider as a general definition of the field strength for both geometric and non-geometric theories. Note that beside antisymmetric part ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)}$ it also contains the symmetric one ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)}$. In definition of both parts, derivatives with respect to both T-dual coordinate y_μ and to its double $\tilde{y}_\mu$ contribute.

The unusual form of ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ is a consequence of two facts: 1. the T-dual vector field ${}^\star A^a_D(V)$ are not multiplied by $\dot{y}_a$ but with T-dual σ-momentum ${}^\star G^{-1}_{ab}{}^\star\gamma^b_{(0)}$; 2. the T-dual vector fields depend on V^μ (see Eq.(3.58)) which is function on both y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$.

6 Genuinely non-geometric theories

Until now we used generalized Buscher procedure to establish new structure of non-geometric theories defined in terms of effective vector fields $\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V), \mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)$ and effective field strength $\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$. It is important to stress that effective vector fields are not independent. The initial vector fields are connected with the relations (3.39) and (3.40) while the T-dual ones with relation (3.56).

Now we are able to separate from Buscher approach and establish new kind of non-geometric theories. We can preserve obtained structure Eqs.(5.11)-(5.13), and omit relation between effective vector fields. Consequently, in all obtained theories we will have nontrivial field $\mathcal{A}_{1a}(V)$. Moreover, we can define new background fields dependence on the arguments. As well as T-dual background fields dependent on

$$V^\mu(y) = -\kappa\theta^{\mu\nu}y_\nu + G^{-1\mu\nu}_E\tilde{y}_\nu = -2\,{}^\star B^{\mu\nu}y_\nu + {}^\star G^{\mu\nu}\tilde{y}_\nu\,, \tag{6.1}$$

(see (3.58)), which is solution for x^μ of the finite part (for $\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu} = 0$) of T-dual transformation lows (3.60), we will take that the initial background fields dependent on $V_\mu(x)$, which is solution for y_μ of the finite part of inverse T-dual transformation lows (3.61). So it takes the form

$$V_\mu(x) = -2B_{\mu\nu}x^\nu + G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{x}^\nu\,, \tag{6.2}$$

and depend on $\tilde{x}^\mu = \int(d\tau x'^\mu + d\sigma\dot{x}^\mu)$ which make the theory non-geometric. Therefore all theories, included initial one, will be non-geometric. Our vector fields of genuinely non-geometric theories are $\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}[V_\mu(x)]$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\pm[V^\mu(y)]$, where the arguments are defined in above expressions.

Now new duality transformations takes a simple form

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}[V_\mu(x)] \to {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\pm[V^\mu(y)] = \theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm\mathcal{A}_{\pm\nu}[V^\mu(y)]\,. \tag{6.3}$$

Then, for example, the inverse T-dual transformation produces non-trivial relations

$$2B_{\mu\nu}\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^\nu[V^\mu(y)] - G_{\mu\nu}\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^\nu[V^\mu(y)] \to \mathcal{A}_{0\mu}[V_\mu(x)]\,,$$
$$2B_{\mu\nu}\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^\nu[V^\mu(y)] - G_{\mu\nu}\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^\nu[V^\mu(y)] \to \mathcal{A}_{1\mu}[V_\mu(x)]\,. \tag{6.4}$$

The constraints (3.56) on the T-dual effective fields force Neumann part to zero, $\mathcal{A}_{1a} = 0$, but without these constraints $\mathcal{A}_{1a}$ is non-trivial. Also, the fields $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu}$ depend on $V_\mu(x)$ and we have truly non-geometric theories. In Subsec. 6.2. we will introduced matter non-geometric field. The part $\psi_1(V)$, corresponding to gauge field $\mathcal{A}_1^\mu(V)$, prevents regress to the geometric theory after T-dualization.

There exist a few different approaches to genuinely non-geometric theories. For more details see Ref.[23] and reference there.

6.1 Local gauge symmetries of non-geometric theories

We are ready to find gauge transformations of the vector fields in non-geometric theories. To be definite, we will use T-dual fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\mu[V^\mu(y)]$, but similar expressions are valid for initial fields $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\mu}[V_\mu(x)]$. By our definition the action (4.31) is proportional to field strength, and consequently it is gauge invariant. So, it is enough to find transformation which leave the action (4.31) unchanged. It is easy to see that transformation

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\mu[V] \to\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\mu[V] + \partial_\alpha^\mu\lambda_\alpha(y^\alpha)\,, \qquad (\lambda_\alpha(y^\alpha) \equiv \{\lambda_0(y)\,, \lambda_1(\tilde{y})\}) \tag{6.5}$$

or equivalently in components

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^\mu[V] \to\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^\mu[V] + \partial_y^\mu\lambda_0(y)\,, \qquad {}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^\mu[V] \to\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^\mu[V] + \partial_{\tilde{y}}^\mu\lambda_1(\tilde{y})\,, \tag{6.6}$$

satisfy this condition because

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta}\int d\tau\,\partial_\alpha^\mu\lambda_\alpha(y^\alpha)\;\partial_\beta y_\mu/_{\partial\Sigma} = \int d\tau\,\Big(\partial_y^\mu\lambda_0(y)\,\dot{y}_\mu - \partial_{\tilde{y}}^\mu\lambda_1(\tilde{y})\,\dot{\tilde{y}}_\mu\Big)/_{\partial\Sigma}$$
$$= \int d\tau\Big(\dot{\lambda}_0 - \dot{\lambda}_1\Big)/_{\partial\Sigma} = 0\,. \tag{6.7}$$

Consequently, the expression for field strength in (5.11) should be invariant under gauge transformations

$$\delta\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\mu[V] = \partial_\alpha^\mu\lambda_\alpha(y^\alpha)\,, \tag{6.8}$$

or in components

$$\delta\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^\mu[V] = \partial_y^\mu\lambda_0(y)\,, \qquad \delta\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^\mu[V] = \partial_{\tilde{y}}^\mu\lambda_1(\tilde{y})\,. \tag{6.9}$$

It easy to check that it is true. In fact variation of the antisymmetric part (coefficient in front of $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$) vanish in the same way as in geometric theory (partial derivatives commute).

Variation of the symmetric part (coefficient in front of $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$) vanish because we have derivatives with respect to both y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$ of the parameter λ which depend on only one of this variables.

The transformation (6.6) we can take as definition of gauge transformations for non-geometric theories.

6.2 Non-geometric matter fields

In the description of T-dual non-geometric fields we introduced a pair of T-dual coordinates $y^0_\mu = y_\mu$ and $y^1_\mu = \tilde{y}_\mu$, as well as a pair of effective vector fields $\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V)$ and $\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)$. Each vector field transforms with its gauge parameter $\lambda_0(y)$ and $\lambda_1(\tilde{y})$. So, it is natural to introduce a pair of spinor mater fields $\psi_0(V)$ and $\psi_1(V)$ with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_0(V) i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu_y \psi_0(V) + \bar{\psi}_1(V) i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu_{\tilde{y}} \psi_1(V)\,. \tag{6.10}$$

As well as in the standard electro-dynamics it is invariant under global symmetries

$$\psi^{(\lambda_0)}_0(V) = e^{-i\lambda_0}\psi_0(V)\,, \qquad \psi^{(\lambda_1)}_1(V) = e^{-i\lambda_1}\psi_1(V)\,. \quad (\lambda_0, \lambda_1 = const) \tag{6.11}$$

Now, we can gauge these symmetries requiring that Lagrangian (6.10) is invariant under corresponding local symmetries with parameters $\lambda_0(y)$ and $\lambda_1(\tilde{y})$. This can be achieved by introducing covariant derivatives

$$\partial^\mu_y \to D^\mu_y = \partial^\mu_y + i\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V)\,, \qquad \partial^\mu_{\tilde{y}} \to \tilde{D}^\mu_{\tilde{y}} = \partial^\mu_{\tilde{y}} + i\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)\,. \tag{6.12}$$

With the help of (6.6) and (6.11) we can easily check that covariant derivatives really transform as

$$\Big[D^\mu_y \psi_0(V)\Big]^{(\lambda_0)} = e^{-i\lambda_0(y)} D^\mu_y \psi_0(V)\,, \qquad \Big[\tilde{D}^\mu_{\tilde{y}} \psi_1(V)\Big]^{(\lambda_1)} = e^{-i\lambda_1(\tilde{y})} \tilde{D}^\mu_{\tilde{y}} \psi_1(V)\,. \tag{6.13}$$

Consequently, the interaction Lagrangian obtains the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\bar{\psi}_0(V)\gamma_\mu\psi_0(V)\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V) - \bar{\psi}_1(V)\gamma_\mu\psi_1(V)\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)\,. \tag{6.14}$$

It is possible to form the Lagrangian for gauge fields in non-geometric theories constructing the scalar from gauge invariant field strength (5.11). In analogy with electro-dynamics we can write ${}^\star\mathcal{L} \sim {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}\,{}^\star\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ while in analogy with Born-Infeld theory we have ${}^\star\mathcal{L} \sim \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi\alpha' {}^\star\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu})}$. The equations of motion and other features of non-geometric theories, which follows from these Lagrangians, will be discussed elsewhere.

Let us be allowed to offer possible interpretation of such non-geometric theory. The fields with index 0, $\psi_0(V)$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0(V)$, are standard one and we can suppose that they represent known spinor and gauge fields. The fields with index 1, $\psi_1(V)$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1(V)$, are new ones and we can suppose that they describe some so far unknown physics. It might be interesting to consider its possible relation with dark matter and dark energy.

7 Example: Three torus with D_1-brane

In this section we will take the example of three-torus with D_1-brane. We will perform T-dualization along all coordinates and obtain T-dual three torus with D_0-brane.

7.1 Initial theory

We will start with definition of background fields of the initial theory and introduce effective vector background fields and effective field strengths for three torus with D_1-brane.

7.1.1 Background fields of the initial theory

The coordinates of the $D = 3$ dimensional torus will be denoted by x^0, x^1, x^2. In our particular example, nontrivial components of the background are

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B & 0 \\ -B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.1}$$

which produce

$$\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu} \equiv B_{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 2B & 0 \\ -2B & \mp 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mp 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.2}$$

We will examine D_1-brane define with the Dirichlet boundary conditions $x^2(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=0} = x^2(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=\pi} = const.$ It means that according to our convention we will have $p = 1$, $a, b = 0, 1$ and $i, j = 2$. So, we will work with Neumann background fields A^0_N and A^1_N and Dirichlet background field A^2_D.

Such configuration produces $\gamma^{(0)}_2 = \kappa x'^2$ and the action (3.19) takes the form

$$S_{open}[x] = \kappa \int_\Sigma d^2\xi \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu} \partial_- x^\nu \tag{7.3}$$
$$+ \quad 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big(A^N_0[x]\dot{x}^0 + A^N_1[x]\dot{x}^1 + A^D_2[x]x'^2 \Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big(A^N_0[x]\dot{x}^0 + A^N_1[x]\dot{x}^1 + A^D_2[x]x'^2 \Big)/_{\sigma=0} \Big].$$

Note an unusual coupling of A^D_2 with x'^2.

It is easy to find effective metric and non-commutativity parameter

$$G^E_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} G_E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -G_E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \theta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & 0 \\ -\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$G_E \equiv 1 - 4B^2, \qquad \theta \equiv \frac{2B}{\kappa G_E}. \tag{7.5}$$

We will also need expression for combination of background fields

$$\theta^{\mu\nu}_{\pm} = \theta^{\mu\nu} \mp \frac{1}{\kappa} G_E^{-1\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mp\frac{1}{\kappa G_E} & \theta & 0 \\ -\theta & \pm\frac{1}{\kappa G_E} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm\frac{1}{\kappa} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7.6}$$

According with (3.20) the non trivial vector background fields are

$$A_0^N(x) = A_0^0 - \frac{1}{2}F^{(a)}x^1 , \qquad A_1^N(x) = A_1^0 + \frac{1}{2}F^{(a)}x^0 , \qquad A_2^D(x) = A_2^0 - \frac{1}{4}F^{(s)}x^2 , \tag{7.7}$$

where $F^{(a)} \equiv F^{(a)}_{01} = \partial_0 A_1^N - \partial_1 A_0^N$ and $F^{(s)} \equiv F^{(s)}_{22} = -4\,\partial_2 A_2^D$. Consequently, the field strength of the initial theory is

$$F_{\mu\nu} = F^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}F^{(s)}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F^{(a)} & 0 \\ -F^{(a)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}F^{(s)} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7.8}$$

Note an unusual appearance of symmetric field strength $F^{(s)}$.

7.1.2 Effective vector background fields and effective field strength

We introduced effective vector background fields, which in our example of three torus with D_1-brane take a form (3.36) and (3.41)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm 0}(x) = A_0^N(x) , \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\pm 1}(x) = A_1^N(x) , \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\pm 2}(x) = \mp A_2^D(x) , \tag{7.9}$$

or in components

$$\mathcal{A}_{00}(x) = A_0^N(x) , \qquad \mathcal{A}_{01}(x) = A_1^N(x) , \qquad \mathcal{A}_{10}(x) = 0 = \mathcal{A}_{11}(x) , \tag{7.10}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{02}(x) = 0 , \qquad \mathcal{A}_{12}(x) = -A_2^D(x) . \tag{7.11}$$

Note that the constraints on the effective fields (3.39) and (3.40) are satisfied.

The effective field strength is equivalent to the initial field strength (4.2), (4.6) and (4.7)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} , \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_{0\nu}(x) - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_{0\mu}(x) , \tag{7.12}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{00} = 0 , \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{11} = 0 , \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{22} = 4\partial_2 \mathcal{A}_{12}(x) . \tag{7.13}$$

7.2 T-dual theory

Using the method described above, we will compute background fields and field strengths after T-dualization along all coordinates. We will obtain T-dual three torus with D_0-brane.

7.2.1 Background of the T-dual theory

According to (3.58) in T-dual theory the vector background fields depend not only on dual coordinate y_μ but on expression

$$V^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{G_E}(-2By_1 + \tilde{y}_0) \\ \frac{1}{G_E}(2By_0 - \tilde{y}_1) \\ -\tilde{y}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.14}$$

where $\tilde{y}_\mu$ is defined in (3.45). The T-dual action (3.51) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star S[y] = \tfrac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu \theta^{\mu\nu}_- \partial_- y_\nu \\ +2\kappa\int d\tau\Big[\Big(- A_2^D(V)\dot{y}_2 - \tfrac{1}{G_E}A_0^N(V)(2B\dot{y}_1 - y_0') - \tfrac{1}{G_E}A_1^N(V)(-2B\dot{y}_0 + y_1')\Big)\Big/_{\sigma=\pi} \\ -\Big(- A_2^D(V)\dot{y}_2 - \tfrac{1}{G_E}A_0^N(V)(2B\dot{y}_1 - y_0') - \tfrac{1}{G_E}A_1^N(V)(-2B\dot{y}_0 + y_1')\Big)\Big/_{\sigma=0}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

where we used expression (3.2) for σ-momenta

$${}^\star\gamma^0_{(0)} = \frac{\kappa}{G_E}(2B\dot{y}_1 - y_0'), \qquad {}^\star\gamma^1_{(0)} = \frac{\kappa}{G_E}(-2B\dot{y}_0 + y_1'). \tag{7.16}$$

Consequently, according to (3.53) the T-dual background fields are

$${}^\star G^{\mu\nu} = G_E^{-1\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{G_E} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{G_E} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad {}^\star B^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & 0 \\ -\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.17}$$

and

$${}^\star A_D^0(V) = \frac{1}{G_E}A_0^N(V), \qquad {}^\star A_D^1(V) = -\frac{1}{G_E}A_1^N(V), \qquad {}^\star A_N^2(V) = -A_2^D(V). \tag{7.18}$$

Note that now we have one T-dual Neumann and two T-dual Dirichlet vector fields. It means that T-dual three torus has D_0-brane define with the Dirichlet boundary conditions $y_0(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=0} = y_0(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=\pi} = const$ and $y_1(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=0} = y_1(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=\pi} = const$ as well as $\tilde{y}_0(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=0} = \tilde{y}_0(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=\pi} = const$ and $\tilde{y}_1(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=0} = \tilde{y}_1(\tau,\sigma)/_{\sigma=\pi} = const$.

The T-dual effective vector background fields in term of initial ones are ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\pm = \kappa\,\theta^{\mu\nu}_\pm \mathcal{A}_{\pm\nu}$ which, with thee help of (7.9), is equivalent to (3.54). So, in the case of the present example we have

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_\pm(V) = \mp\tfrac{1}{G_E}\mathcal{A}_{\pm0}(V) + \kappa\,\theta\mathcal{A}_{\pm1}(V) = \mp\tfrac{1}{G_E}A_0^N(V) + \kappa\,\theta A_1^N(V), \tag{7.19}$$

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_\pm(V) = -\kappa\,\theta\mathcal{A}_{\pm0}(V) \pm \tfrac{1}{G_E}\mathcal{A}_{\pm1}(V) = -\kappa\,\theta A_0^N(V) \pm \tfrac{1}{G_E}A_1^N(V), \tag{7.20}$$

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_\pm(V) = \pm\mathcal{A}_{\pm2}(V) = -A_2^D(V). \tag{7.21}$$

Rewriting this in components, or according to (3.55), we obtain

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_0(V) = \kappa\,\theta A_1^N(V), \qquad {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_0(V) = -\kappa\,\theta A_0^N(V), \qquad {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_0(V) = -A_2^D, \tag{7.22}$$

$${}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_1(V) = -\tfrac{1}{G_E}A_0^N(V), \qquad {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_1(V) = \tfrac{1}{G_E}A_1^N(V), \qquad {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_1(V) = 0, \tag{7.23}$$

where G_E and θ are defined in (7.5). Note that constraints (3.56) are satisfied.

7.2.2 T-dual transformation lows

The T-dual transformation lows from three torus with D_1-brane to dual three torus with D_0-brane in accordance with (3.60) take a form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\pm x^0 &\cong \pm\tfrac{1}{G_E}(\partial_\pm y_0 \mp 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 0}) - \kappa\theta(\partial_\pm y_1 \mp 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1})\,,\\ \partial_\pm x^1 &\cong \kappa\theta(\partial_\pm y_0 \mp 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 0}) \mp \tfrac{1}{G_E}(\partial_\pm y_1 \mp 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1})\,,\\ \partial_\pm x^2 &\cong \mp(\partial_\pm y_2 \mp 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 2})\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

while its inverse (3.61) is

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\pm y_0 &\cong \pm\partial_\pm x^0 - 2B\partial_\pm x^1 \pm 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 0}\,,\\ \partial_\pm y_1 &\cong 2B\partial_\pm x^0 \mp \partial_\pm x^1 \pm 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1}\,,\\ \partial_\pm y_2 &\cong \mp\partial_\pm x^2 \pm 4\mathcal{A}_{\pm 2}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{7.25}$$

Note that expression for V^μ (7.14) is solution of the finite part of the relation (7.24), for $\mathcal{A}_{\pm 0} = \mathcal{A}_{\pm 1} = 0$.

7.2.3 T-dual field strength

In Eq.(7.8) we introduced the field strength of the vector background fields on the string end-points for initial theory of three torus with D_1-brane. Now, we are going to express the field strength of its T-dual three torus with D_0-brane obtained after dualization along all coordinates.

In T-dual Dirichlet sector with the help of (7.6) we have

$$\kappa\,\theta^{\mu\rho}_{\pm}\,\mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{\rho\sigma}\,\kappa\,\theta^{\sigma\nu}_{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(a)}}{G_E^2}\begin{pmatrix} \pm 4B & -(1+4B^2) & 0\\ 1+4B^2 & \mp 4B & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{7.26}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(a)} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{01} = F^{(a)}_{01} \equiv F^{(a)}$. So, according to (4.16) and (4.17) we find

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(a)} = -\frac{\mathcal{F}^{(a)}}{G_E^2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(1+4B^2) & 0\\ 1+4B^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{7.27}$$

and

$${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{ab}_{(s)} = 2\frac{\mathcal{F}^{(a)}}{G_E^2}\begin{pmatrix} 4B & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -4B & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{7.28}$$

The only non trivial term in Neumann sector is the second term in (4.27), ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{22}_{(s)} = -\mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{22} = -F^{(s)}_{22} \equiv -F^{(s)}$. Consequently, the complete field strength of T-dual three torus with D_0-brane is

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4\mathcal{F}^{(a)}B}{G_E^2} & \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(a)}(1+4B^2)}{G_E^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\mathcal{F}^{(a)}(1+4B^2)}{G_E^2} & -\frac{4\mathcal{F}^{(a)}B}{G_E^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{F}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.29} $$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(a)} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{(a)}_{01} = \partial_0 A^N_1 - \partial_1 A^N_0$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(s)} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{(s)}_{22} = -4\partial_2 A^D_2$. In terms of T-dual fields we have $\mathcal{F}^{(a)} = -G_E\,(\partial_0 {}^\star A^1_D + \partial_1 {}^\star A^0_D)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(s)} = 4\,\partial_2 {}^\star A^2_N$.

Since in our particular case $\mathcal{F}^{(a)} = F^{(a)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(s)} = F^{(s)}$ we can write

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(a)} + \frac{1}{2}{}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{(s)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4F^{(a)}B}{G_E^2} & \frac{F^{(a)}(1+4B^2)}{G_E^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{F^{(a)}(1+4B^2)}{G_E^2} & -\frac{4F^{(a)}B}{G_E^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F^{(s)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.30} $$

where $F^{(a)}$ and $F^{(s)}$ have been introduced after Eq.(7.7). Again, beside antisymmetric field strength we have non-trivial symmetric part of field strength $F^{(s)}$.

7.2.4 The non-geometric Three torus with D_0-brane

The basic relation (5.11) of the field strength of non-geometric theories can be express in the form

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \hat\partial^\mu_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_+ - \hat\partial^\nu_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_- \,, \tag{7.31} $$

where $\hat\partial^\mu_\pm = \partial^\mu_y \pm \partial^\mu_{\tilde y}$ and ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_\pm = {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0 \pm {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1$.

In the case of three torus it turns to the expression

$$ {}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat\partial^0_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_+ - \hat\partial^0_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_- & \hat\partial^0_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_+ - \hat\partial^0_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_- & \hat\partial^0_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_+ - \hat\partial^0_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_- \\ \hat\partial^1_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_+ - \hat\partial^1_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_- & \hat\partial^1_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_+ - \hat\partial^1_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_- & \hat\partial^1_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_+ - \hat\partial^1_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_- \\ \hat\partial^2_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_+ - \hat\partial^2_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^0_- & \hat\partial^2_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_+ - \hat\partial^2_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^1_- & \hat\partial^2_+ {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_+ - \hat\partial^2_- {}^\star\mathcal{A}^2_- \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.32} $$

The term with effective background fields of T-dual action (5.12) takes the form

$$ {}^\star S_A[y] = 2\kappa \int d\tau \Big[\Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0[V]\,\dot y_\mu - {}^\star\,\mathcal{A}^\mu_1[V]\,y'_\mu \Big)/_{\sigma=\pi} - \Big({}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0[V]\,\dot y_\mu - {}^\star\,\mathcal{A}^\mu_1[V]\,y'_\mu \Big)/_{\sigma=0} \Big] \tag{7.33} $$

Note that ${}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_1[V]$ is multiplied with y'_μ, not with $\dot y_\mu$.

Let us stress that only the first term in (7.31) ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{standard} = \partial^\mu_y {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\nu_0 - \partial^\nu_y {}^\star\mathcal{A}^\mu_0$ is standard one. The other three terms are new and unusual. One is also antisymmetric, but with derivation with respect to $\tilde y_\mu$, while the other two are symmetric.

7.3 Genuinely non-geometric Three torus

If we preserve the relation between effective background fields we can go back to the initial geometric theory. As has been explained in the beginning of Sec.6., if we want to introduce the new kind of theories we can use the obtained structure es.(7.31)-(7.33) and suppose that: 1. effective background fields and corresponding field strengths are independent, 2. background fields depend on solutions of T-duality transformations. In that case we will lose possibility to go back to the initial theory with inverse T-dualization. So, all our theories will be genuinely non-geometric.

On the constraints for effective vector fields the Neumann part of $\mathcal{A}_{1\mu}$ is zero

$$\mathcal{A}_{1\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -A_2^D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.34}$$

but without constraints we have non-trivial expression

$$\mathcal{A}_{1\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 2B\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^1 - {}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^0 \\ -2B\,{}^\star\mathcal{A}_1^0 + {}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^1 \\ {}^\star\mathcal{A}_0^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.35}$$

The initial vector fields $\mathcal{A}_{\pm\mu}$ depend on the solution of the finite part of inverse T-dual transformation lows (7.25)

$$V_\mu(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -2Bx^1 + \tilde{x}^0 \\ 2Bx^0 - \tilde{x}^1 \\ -\tilde{x}^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.36}$$

The T-dual ones ${}^\star\mathcal{A}_\pm^\mu$, depend on $V^\mu(y)$ defined in (7.14), which is solution of the finite part of T-dual transformation lows (7.24).

The local gauge symmetry is defined with Eq.(6.9) while the matter fields can be introduced with Eqs.(6.10) and (6.14).

8 Conclusions

In the present article using T-duality of the vector fields we are able to introduce the new definition for geometrical feature (the field strength) in non-geometric (T-dual) theories.

We started with T-duality of the vector gauge fields. In string theory the gauge fields appear at boundary of the open string. Their role is to enable complete local gauge symmetries. In fact, there are two important symmetries of the closed string theory: local gauge symmetry of the Kalb-Ramond field and general coordinate transformations. Both symmetries are failed at the open string end-points. The function of gauge fields is to

restore these symmetries at the end-points. So, they are defined only on the open string boundary and not on the whole world-sheet. The corresponding term in the action is line integral over world-sheet boundary.

To each of the above symmetries of the string theory there corresponds appropriate vector gauge field. As a consequence of the boundary conditions only parts of these gauge fields survive. From gauge field corresponding to local gauge symmetry of the Kalb-Ramond field, the components along coordinates with Neumann boundary conditions survive. From gauge field corresponding to general coordinate transformations, the components along coordinates with Dirichlet boundary conditions survive. So, we obtained one complete vector field $\{A_a^N, A_i^D\},\ \mu = (a, i)$. The action which describes field A_a^N is standard one (see for example [6]), while introduction of the action for field A_i^D is contribution of the present article.

There are several important results in the present article. First, we added new term $A_i^D[x]G^{-1ij}\gamma_j^{(0)}(x)/_{\partial\Sigma}$ in the action (3.19) which corresponds to the Dirichlet boundary conditions and which compensate not implemented general coordinate transformations at string end-points. We considered the case when vector gauge field is linear in coordinates, so that it satisfies open string space-time equations of motion.

Second, we perform T-duality along all the coordinates. We used new approach for T-dualization in the absence of global symmetry [12]. We show that such T-dualization exchange: 1. Neumann with Dirichlet boundary conditions, 2. initial Dirichlet vector fields $A_i^D(x)$ with T-dual Neumann vector fields ${}^\star A_N^i(V)$ (also initial Neumann vector fields $A_a^N(x)$ with T-dual Dirichlet vector fields ${}^\star A_D^a(V)$) and 3. local gauge transformations with general coordinate transformations. Note that in initial theory gauge fields depend on x^μ while in T-dual non-geometric theory they depend on non-local variable V^μ. This is the cause of many interesting consequences.

Third, we introduced field strength for T-dual theories. Final expression is in accordance with the result obtained in other way, with direct T-dualization of the action with field strength. Using the fact that T-duality transformation turns geometric to non-geometric theories we can expressed effective T-dual field strength ${}^\star\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}$ as derivation of effective gauge fields ${}^\star\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\mu(V)$, see (5.11). Because arguments of non-geometric theories depend on $V^\mu = -\kappa\,\theta^{\mu\nu}y_\nu + G_E^{-1\mu\nu}\,\tilde{y}_\nu$ the corresponding field strength contains derivative with respect to both y_μ and $\tilde{y}_\mu$. We also find that field strength (5.11) is invariant under gauge transformations of non-geometric theories (6.6).

Fourth, when we omit relation between effective background fields $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^\mu(V)$ proclaiming them independent and introduce new arguments of background fields as a solution of T-dual transformation lows, we will not be able to go back to the initial geometric theory. So, all our theories in any duality frame become truly non-geometric.

In the next paper we will reproduce results of the present article in the double space

introduced in Ref.[24]. Let us stress that there is essential difference between our approach and that of Double field theories [21, 22]. In Double field theories there are two coordinates the initial x^μ and its double, denoted as $\tilde{x}_\mu$. The variable $\tilde{x}_\mu$ corresponds to our y_μ but we have additional dual coordinate $\tilde{y}_\mu$ defined in first relation (3.45). It play essential role in definition of field strength for non-geometric theories.

It will be interesting to establish relation between our formulation with recent work of other authors on Double field theory. The fact that Double field theory does not depend on $\tilde{y}_\mu$ suggest that in order to find relation between these theories we should eliminate variable $\tilde{y}_\mu$, expressing it in terms of y_μ. For example, it is possible to introduce Lagrange multiplier λ^μ and add the term in the Lagrangian $\lambda^\mu(\dot{y}_\mu - \tilde{y}'_\mu)$ in order to introduce the relation between these variables as a constraint. Then $\tilde{y}_\mu$ becomes independent variable, but we paid the price introducing new variable λ^μ.

There may be a new, more general theory such that both Double field theory and our theory formulated in double space are some particular cases of that general theory.

References

[1] S. Hellerman, J. McGreevy and B. Williams, *JHEP* **01** (2004) 024.

[2] A. Dabholkar and C. Hull, *JHEP* **09** (2003) 054.

[3] J. Shelton, W. Taylor and B. Wecht, *JHEP* **10** (2005) 085.

[4] Lj. Davidović, B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, *EPJ* **C 74** (2014) 2734.

[5] Lj. Davidović, B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, *EPJ* **C 75** (2015) 576.

[6] B. Zwiebach, *A First Course in String Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[7] R. G. Leigh, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 4** (1989) 2767.

[8] J. Polchinski, *String theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[9] M. Evans and B. A Ovrut, *Phys.Rev.* **D 39** (1989) 3016.

[10] M. Evans and B. A Ovrut, *Phys.Rev.* **D 41** (1990) 3149.

[11] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, in preparation.

[12] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 119.

[13] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss, and V. Mathai, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **264** (2006) 41.

[14] J. Brodzki, V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg, and R. J. Szabo, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **277** (2008) 643.

[15] D. Lust, *JHEP* **12** (2010) 084.

[16] R. Blumenhagen, A. Deser, D. Lüst, E. Plauschinn, F. Rennecke, J. Phys. **A 44**, 385401 (2011).

[17] R. Blumenhagen, E. Plauschinn, J. Phys. **A 44**, 015401 (2011).

[18] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. Schwarz, *String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[19] T. Buscher, *Phys. Lett.* **B 194** (1987) 51; **201** (1988) 466.

[20] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, *EPJ* **C 74** (2014) 2683.

[21] C. Hull, B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **09** (2009) 099; *JHEP* **09** (2009) 090.

[22] O. Hohm, C. Hull, B. Zwiebach, *JHEP* **08** (2010) 008.

[23] A. Chatzistavrakidis, L. Jonke and O. Leehtenfeld, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 182.

[24] B. Sazdović, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 055.